

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K/A

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2006 or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-9610

Commission file number: 1-15136

Carnival Corporation

Carnival plc

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Republic of Panama

England and Wales

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

59-1562976

98-0357772

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3655 N.W. 87th Avenue
Miami, Florida 33178-2428

Carnival House, 5 Gainsford Street,
London SE1 2NE, United Kingdom

(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip code)

(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip code)

(305) 599-2600

011 44 20 7940 5381

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Title of each class

Common Stock
(\$0.01 par value)

Ordinary Shares each represented by American Depositary Shares (\$1.66 par value), Special Voting Share, GBP 1.00 par value and Trust Shares of beneficial interest in the P&O Princess Special Voting Trust

Name of each exchange on which registered

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrants are well-known seasoned issuers, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrants are not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrants' knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, or non-accelerated filers. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Large Accelerated Filers Accelerated Filers Non-Accelerated Filers

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold was \$15.98 billion as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold was \$6.94 billion as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

At February 5, 2007, Carnival Corporation had outstanding 623,106,732 shares of its Common Stock, \$.01 par value.

At February 5, 2007, Carnival plc had outstanding 213,115,941 Ordinary Shares \$1.66 par value, one Special Voting Share, GBP 1.00 par value and 623,106,732 Trust Shares of beneficial interest in the P&O Princess Special Voting Trust.

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) (1) Financial Statements

Carnival Corporation & plc is filing this amendment to its 2006 joint Annual Report on Form 10-K to amend Exhibit 13, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Explanatory Note

This amendment is necessary to correct a typographical error for one word in the second to the last sentence in the third paragraph under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Outlook For Fiscal 2007 ("2007")" from "not" to "now," so that this sentence reads "Our earnings per share for the first quarter of 2007 is now expected to come in at the high end of the range of our December guidance of \$0.33 to \$0.35 per share because of lower fuel costs."

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each of the registrants has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CARNIVAL CORPORATION

/s/ Micky Arison

Micky Arison
Chairman of the Board of
Directors and Chief Executive Officer
February 12, 2007

CARNIVAL PLC

/s/ Micky Arison

Micky Arison
Chairman of the Board of
Directors and Chief Executive Officer
February 12, 2007

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of each of the registrants and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

CARNIVAL CORPORATION

/s/ Micky Arison

Micky Arison
Chairman of the Board of
Directors and Chief Executive Officer
February 12, 2007

CARNIVAL PLC

/s/ Micky Arison

Micky Arison
Chairman of the Board of
Directors and Chief Executive Officer
February 12, 2007

/s/ Howard S. Frank

Howard S. Frank
Vice Chairman of the Board of
Directors and Chief Operating Officer
February 12, 2007

/s/ Howard S. Frank

Howard S. Frank
Vice Chairman of the Board of
Directors and Chief Operating Officer
February 12, 2007

/s/ Gerald R. Cahill

Gerald R. Cahill
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial and
Accounting Officer
February 12, 2007

/s/ Gerald R. Cahill

Gerald R. Cahill
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial and
Accounting Officer
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Richard G. Capen, Jr.

Richard G. Capen, Jr.
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Richard G. Capen, Jr.

Richard G. Capen, Jr.
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Robert H. Dickinson

Robert H. Dickinson
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Robert H. Dickinson

Robert H. Dickinson
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Arnold W. Donald

Arnold W. Donald
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Arnold W. Donald

Arnold W. Donald
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Pier Luigi Foschi

Pier Luigi Foschi
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Pier Luigi Foschi

Pier Luigi Foschi
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Richard J. Glasier

Richard J. Glasier
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Richard J. Glasier

Richard J. Glasier
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Baroness Sarah Hogg

Baroness Hogg
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *A. Kirk Lanterman

A. Kirk Lanterman
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Modesto A. Maidique

Modesto A. Maidique
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Sir John Parker

Sir John Parker
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Peter G. Ratcliffe

Peter G. Ratcliffe
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Stuart Subotnick

Stuart Subotnick
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Laura Weil

Laura Weil
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Uzi Zucker

Uzi Zucker
Director
February 12, 2007

*By: /s/ Arnaldo Perez

(Arnaldo Perez
Attorney-in-fact)
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Baroness Sarah Hogg

Baroness Hogg
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *A. Kirk Lanterman

A. Kirk Lanterman
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Modesto A. Maidique

Modesto A. Maidique
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Sir John Parker

Sir John Parker
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Peter G. Ratcliffe

Peter G. Ratcliffe
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Stuart Subotnick

Stuart Subotnick
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Laura Weil

Laura Weil
Director
February 12, 2007

/s/ *Uzi Zucker

Uzi Zucker
Director
February 12, 2007

*By: /s/ Arnaldo Perez

(Arnaldo Perez
Attorney-in-fact)
February 12, 2007

CARNIVAL CORPORATION & PLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in millions, except per share data)

	Years Ended November 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(Note 2)		
Revenues			
Cruise			
Passenger tickets	\$ 8,903	\$ 8,399	\$ 7,357
Onboard and other	2,514	2,338	2,070
Other	422	357	300
	-----	-----	-----
	11,839	11,094	9,727
	-----	-----	-----
Costs and Expenses			
Operating			
Cruise			
Commissions, transportation and other	1,749	1,645	1,572
Onboard and other	453	412	359
Payroll and related	1,158	1,122	1,003
Fuel	935	707	493
Food	644	613	550
Other ship operating	1,538	1,465	1,315
Other	314	254	210
	-----	-----	-----
Total	6,791	6,218	5,502
Selling and administrative	1,447	1,335	1,285
Depreciation and amortization	988	902	812
	-----	-----	-----
	9,226	8,455	7,599
	-----	-----	-----
Operating Income	2,613	2,639	2,128
	-----	-----	-----
Nonoperating (Expense) Income			
Interest income	25	29	17
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(312)	(330)	(284)
Other expense, net	(8)	(13)	(5)
	-----	-----	-----
	(295)	(314)	(272)
	-----	-----	-----
Income Before Income Taxes	2,318	2,325	1,856
Income Tax Expense, Net	(39)	(72)	(47)
	-----	-----	-----
Net Income	\$ 2,279	\$ 2,253	\$ 1,809
	=====	=====	=====
Earnings Per Share			
Basic	\$ 2.85	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.25
	=====	=====	=====
Diluted	\$ 2.77	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.18
	=====	=====	=====
Dividends Per Share	\$ 1.025	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.525
	=====	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-1

CARNIVAL CORPORATION & PLC
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in millions, except par value)

	November 30,	
	2006	2005
	(Note 2)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,178
Trade and other receivables, net	280	430
Inventories	263	250

Prepaid expenses and other	289	263
Total current assets	1,995	2,121
Property and Equipment, Net	23,458	21,312
Goodwill	3,313	3,206
Trademarks	1,321	1,282
Other Assets	465	428
	<u>\$ 30,552</u>	<u>\$ 28,349</u>
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Short-term borrowings	\$ 438	\$ 300
Current portion of long-term debt	1,054	1,042
Convertible debt subject to current put option		283
Accounts payable	438	477
Accrued liabilities and other	1,149	1,032
Customer deposits	2,336	2,051
Total current liabilities	5,415	5,185
Long-Term Debt	6,355	5,727
Other Long-Term Liabilities and Deferred Income	572	554
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 6 and 7)		
Shareholders' Equity		
Common stock of Carnival Corporation; \$.01 par value; 1,960 shares authorized; 641 shares at 2006 and 639 shares at 2005 issued	6	6
Ordinary shares of Carnival plc; \$1.66 par value; 226 shares authorized; 213 shares at 2006 and 212 shares at 2005 issued	354	353
Additional paid-in capital	7,479	7,381
Retained earnings	11,600	10,141
Unearned stock compensation		(13)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	661	159
Treasury stock; 18 at 2006 and 2 shares at 2005 of Carnival Corporation and 42 shares at 2006 and 2005 of Carnival plc, at cost	(1,890)	(1,144)
Total shareholders' equity	18,210	16,883
	<u>\$ 30,552</u>	<u>\$ 28,349</u>
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CARNIVAL CORPORATION & PLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in millions)

	Years Ended November 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
	-----	-----	-----
		(Note 2)	
	-----	-----	-----
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$ 2,279	\$ 2,253	\$ 1,809
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization	988	902	812
Share-based compensation	68	12	11
Non-cruise investment write-down	10	22	
Accretion of original issue discount	9	20	21
Other		3	5
Changes in operating assets and liabilities			
Receivables	118	(71)	11
Inventories	(5)	(15)	(73)
Prepaid expenses and other	6	(136)	(9)
Accounts payable	(53)	53	(28)
Accrued and other liabilities	(11)	155	178
Customer deposits	224	212	479
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,633	3,410	3,216
	-----	-----	-----
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Additions to property and equipment	(2,480)	(1,977)	(3,586)
Sales of short-term investments	6	943	1,216
Purchases of short-term investments	(18)	(935)	(772)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	46		77
Other, net	3	(1)	(24)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,443)	(1,970)	(3,089)
	-----	-----	-----
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Principal repayments of long-term debt	(2,537)	(1,096)	(932)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	2,241	1,152	843
Purchase of treasury stock	(841)	(386)	
Dividends paid	(803)	(566)	(400)
Proceeds from (repayments of) short-term borrowings, net	661	(58)	272
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	66	63	142
Other	1	(1)	(4)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,212)	(892)	(79)
	-----	-----	-----
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	7	(13)	(15)
	-----	-----	-----
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(15)	535	33
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,178	643	610
	-----	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,178	\$ 643
	=====	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CARNIVAL CORPORATION & PLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in millions)
(Note 2)

	Compre- hensive income	Common stock	Ordinary shares	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Unearned stock compen- sation	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Treasury stock	Total share- holders' equity
Balances at November 30, 2003		\$ 6	\$ 349	\$ 7,163	\$ 7,148	\$ (18)	\$ 162	\$ (1,058)	\$ 13,752
Comprehensive income									
Net income	\$ 1,809				1,809				1,809
Foreign currency translation adjustment	396						396		396
Unrealized loss on marketable securities	(1)						(1)		(1)
Minimum pension liability adjustments	(3)						(3)		(3)
Changes related to cash flow derivative hedges, net	(13)						(13)		(13)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 2,188								
Cash dividends declared					(422)				(422)
Issuance of stock under stock plans			4	148		(7)			145
Amortization of unearned stock compensation						9			9
Balances at November 30, 2004		6	353	7,311	8,535	(16)	541	(1,058)	15,672
Comprehensive income									
Net income	\$ 2,253				2,253				2,253
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(395)						(395)		(395)
Minimum pension liability adjustments	(2)						(2)		(2)
Changes related to cash flow derivative hedges, net	15						15		15
Total comprehensive income	\$ 1,871								
Cash dividends declared					(647)				(647)
Issuance of stock under stock plans				73		(9)			64
Amortization of unearned stock compensation						12			12
Purchase of treasury stock								(386)	(386)
Issuance of common stock upon conversion of convertible debt				(3)				300	297
Balances at November 30, 2005		6	353	7,381	10,141	(13)	159	(1,144)	16,883
Adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)				(13)		13			
Comprehensive income									
Net income	\$ 2,279				2,279				2,279
Foreign currency translation adjustment	496						496		496
Minimum pension liability adjustments	2						2		2
Changes related to cash flow derivative hedges, net	4						4		4
Total comprehensive income	\$ 2,781								
Cash dividends declared					(820)				(820)
Issuance of stock under stock plans			1	133					134
Purchase of treasury stock								(841)	(841)
Issuance of common stock upon conversion of convertible debt				(22)				95	73
Balances at November 30, 2006		\$ 6	\$ 354	\$ 7,479	\$ 11,600	\$	\$ 661	\$ (1,890)	\$ 18,210

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CARNIVAL CORPORATION & PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - General

Description of Business

Carnival Corporation is incorporated in Panama, and Carnival plc is incorporated in England and Wales. Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc operate a dual listed company ("DLC"), whereby the businesses of Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc are combined through a number of contracts and through provisions in Carnival Corporation's articles of incorporation and by-laws and Carnival plc's memorandum of association and articles of association. Although the two companies have retained their separate legal identities they operate as if they were a single economic enterprise. Each company's shares continue to be publicly traded; on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") for Carnival Corporation and the London Stock Exchange for Carnival plc. In addition, Carnival plc American Depository Shares ("ADSs") are traded on the NYSE. See Note 3.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc and their respective subsidiaries. Together with their consolidated subsidiaries they are referred to collectively in these consolidated financial statements and elsewhere in this 2006 Annual Report as "Carnival Corporation & plc," "our," "us," and "we."

We are the largest cruise company and one of the largest vacation companies in the world. As of November 30, 2006, a summary of the number of cruise ships we operate, by brand, their passenger capacity and the primary areas in which they are marketed is as follows:

Cruise Brands -----	Number of Cruise Ships -----	Passenger Capacity (a) -----	Primary Market -----
Carnival Cruise Lines	21	47,818	North America
Princess Cruises ("Princess")	15	32,232	North America
Costa Cruises ("Costa")	11	20,218	Europe
Holland America Line	13	18,848	North America
P&O Cruises	5	8,840	United Kingdom
AIDA Cruises ("AIDA")	4	5,378	Germany
Cunard Line ("Cunard")	2	4,380	North America and United Kingdom
P&O Cruises Australia	2	2,474	Australia and New Zealand
Ocean Village	1	1,578	United Kingdom
Swan Hellenic	1	678	United Kingdom
Seabourn Cruise Line ("Seabourn")	3	624	North America
Windstar Cruises	3	608	North America
	-----	-----	
	81	143,676	
	=====	=====	

(a) In accordance with cruise industry practice, passenger capacity is calculated based on two passengers per cabin even though some cabins can accommodate three or more passengers.

Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosed in our financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates. All significant intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

NOTE 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

We consolidate entities over which we have control (see Note 3), as typically evidenced by a direct ownership interest of greater than 50%. For affiliates where significant influence over financial and operating policies exists, as typically evidenced by a direct ownership interest from 20% to 50%, the investment is accounted for using the equity method.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments

Cash and cash equivalents include investments with maturities of three months or less at acquisition, which are stated at cost. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, cash and cash equivalents included \$936 million and \$980 million of investments, respectively, primarily comprised of money market funds, time deposits and commercial paper.

As of November 30, 2006 and 2005, our short-term investments were not significant. Purchases and sales of short-term investments included in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows consisted of investments with original maturities greater than three months with variable interest rates, which typically reset every 28 days. Despite the long-term nature of their stated contractual maturities, we have the ability to quickly liquidate these securities. All income generated from these investments was recorded as interest income.

Inventories

Inventories consist of provisions, gift shop and art merchandise held for resale, fuel and supplies carried at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the weighted-average or first-in, first-out methods.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization were computed using the straight-line method over our estimates of average useful lives and residual values, as a percentage of original cost, as follows:

	Residual Values -----	Years -----
Ships	15%	30
Ship improvements	0% or 15%	3 to remaining life of ship
Buildings and improvements	0-10%	5-35
Transportation equipment and other	0-15%	2-20
Leasehold improvements, including port facilities		Shorter of lease term or related asset life

Ship improvement costs that we believe add value to our ships are capitalized to the ships, and depreciated over the improvements' estimated useful lives, while costs of repairs and maintenance and minor replacement costs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon replacement or refurbishment of previously capitalized ship components, these assets' estimated cost and accumulated depreciation are written off. We capitalize interest on ships and other capital projects during their construction period.

We review our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets may not be fully recoverable. The assessment of possible impairment is based on our ability to recover the carrying value of our asset based on our estimate of its undiscounted future cash flows. If these estimated undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, an impairment charge is recognized for the excess, if any, of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value.

Dry-dock Costs

Dry-dock costs primarily represent planned major maintenance activities that are incurred when a ship is taken out of service for scheduled maintenance. During 2006 we elected to change our method of accounting for dry-dock costs from the deferral method, under which we amortized our deferred dry-dock costs over the estimated period of benefit between dry-docks, to the direct expense method, under which we expense all dry-dock costs as incurred. We believe the direct method is preferable as it eliminates the significant amount of time and subjectivity that is needed to determine which costs and activities related to dry-docking should be deferred. In connection with adopting this change in accounting policy, we elected to early adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections", which requires that we report changes in accounting policy by retrospectively applying the new policies to all prior periods presented, unless it is impractical to determine the prior period impacts. Accordingly, we have previously adjusted our financial statements for all periods presented for this change in dry-dock policy. The effects of this change in accounting policy for the years ended and at November 30 were as follows (in millions, except earnings per share):

Consolidated Statements of Operations

	2006			2005			2004		
	Deferral Method(a)	Direct Method	Effect of Change	Deferral Method	Direct Method	Effect of Change	Deferral Method	Direct Method	Effect of Change
Other ship operating expenses	\$ 1,484	\$ 1,538	\$ 54	\$ 1,461	\$ 1,465	\$ 4	\$ 1,270	\$ 1,315	\$ 45
Net income	\$ 2,333	\$ 2,279	\$ (54)	\$ 2,257	\$ 2,253	\$ (4)	\$ 1,854	\$ 1,809	\$ (45)
Earnings per share									
Basic	\$ 2.92	\$ 2.85	\$ (0.07)	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.80	\$ 0.00	\$ 2.31	\$ 2.25	\$ (0.06)
Diluted	\$ 2.83	\$ 2.77	\$ (0.06)	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.70	\$ 0.00	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.18	\$ (0.06)

Consolidated Balance Sheets

	2006			2005		
	Deferral Method(a)	Direct Method	Effect of Change	Deferral Method	Direct Method	Effect of Change
Prepaid expenses and other	\$ 434	\$ 289	\$ (145)	\$ 352	\$ 263	\$ (89)
Retained earnings	\$ 11,746	\$ 11,600	\$ (146)	\$ 10,233	\$ 10,141	\$ (92)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 660	\$ 661	\$ 1	\$ 156	\$ 159	\$ 3

(a) The amounts disclosed under the deferral method for the year ended and at November 30, 2006 are based on the estimated effect of not changing our dry-dock accounting method to the direct expense method for this current period. Accordingly, these estimated current period amounts have not been previously reported, but are being disclosed in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 154.

In addition, as a result of this change in accounting method retained earnings at November 30, 2003 decreased by \$43 million to \$7.15 billion from \$7.19 billion.

Goodwill

We review our goodwill for impairment annually, or, when events or circumstances dictate, more frequently. All of our goodwill has been allocated to our cruise reporting units. There were no significant changes to our goodwill carrying amounts since November 30, 2004, other than the changes resulting from using different foreign currency translation rates at each balance sheet date and a \$20 million reduction to goodwill in fiscal 2006 resulting from the resolution of certain P&O Princess Cruises plc's ("P&O Princess") tax contingency liabilities that existed at the time of the DLC transaction.

Our goodwill impairment reviews consist of a two-step process of first determining the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing it to the carrying value of the net assets allocated to the reporting unit. Fair values of our reporting units were determined based on our estimates of comparable market prices or discounted future cash flows. If this fair value exceeds the carrying value, which was the case for our reporting units, no further analysis or goodwill write-down is required. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value of the net assets, the implied fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all the underlying assets and liabilities, including both recognized and unrecognized tangible and intangible assets, based on their fair value. If necessary, goodwill is then written-down to its implied fair value.

Trademarks

The costs of developing and maintaining our trademarks are expensed as incurred. However, for acquisitions made after June 2001 we have allocated a portion of the purchase price to the acquiree's identified trademarks. The trademarks that Carnival Corporation recorded as part of its acquisition of P&O Princess, which are estimated to have an indefinite useful life and, therefore, are not amortizable, are reviewed for impairment annually, or, when events or circumstances dictate, more frequently. Our trademarks would be considered impaired if their carrying value exceeds their estimated fair value.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We utilize derivative and nonderivative financial instruments, such as foreign currency swaps and foreign currency debt obligations to limit our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, and interest rate swaps to manage our interest rate exposure and to achieve a desired proportion of variable and fixed rate debt (see Notes 5 and 10).

All derivatives are recorded at fair value, and the changes in fair value are immediately included in earnings if the derivatives do not qualify as being effective hedges. If a derivative is a fair value hedge, then changes in the fair value of the derivative are offset against the changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged item. If a derivative is a cash flow hedge, then changes in the fair value of the derivative are recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") until the underlying hedged item is recognized in earnings. If a derivative or a nonderivative financial instrument is designated as a hedge of our net investment in a foreign subsidiary, then changes in the fair value of the financial instrument are recognized as a component of AOCI to offset a portion of the change in the translated value of the net investment being hedged, until the investment is liquidated. We formally document all hedging relationships for all derivative and nonderivative hedges and the underlying hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge transactions.

We classify the fair value of our derivative contracts and the fair value of our offsetting hedged firm commitments as either current or long-term, which are included in prepaid and other assets and accrued and other liabilities, depending on whether the maturity date of the derivative contract is within or beyond one year from our balance sheet dates. The cash flows from derivatives treated as hedges are classified in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in the same category as the item being hedged.

During fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, all net changes in the fair value of both our fair value hedges and the offsetting hedged firm commitments and our cash flow hedges were immaterial, as were any ineffective portions of these hedges. No fair value hedges or cash flow hedges were derecognized or discontinued in fiscal 2006, 2005 or 2004. In addition, the amount of realized net losses or gains from cash flow hedges that were reclassified into earnings during fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 were not significant. The amount of estimated cash flow hedges unrealized net losses which are expected to be reclassified to earnings in the next twelve months is not significant.

Finally, if any shipyard with which we have contracts to build our ships is unable to perform, we would be required to perform under our foreign currency swaps related to these shipbuilding contracts. Accordingly, based upon the circumstances, we may have to discontinue the accounting for those currency swaps as hedges, if the shipyard cannot perform. However, we believe that the risk of shipyard nonperformance is remote.

Revenue and Expense Recognition

Guest cruise deposits represent unearned revenues and are initially recorded as customer deposit liabilities when received. Customer deposits are subsequently recognized as cruise revenues, together with revenues from onboard and other activities, which include transportation and shore excursion revenues and all associated direct costs of a voyage, upon completion of voyages with durations of ten nights or less and on a pro rata basis for voyages in excess of ten nights. Future travel discount vouchers issued to guests are typically recorded as a reduction of revenues when such vouchers are utilized. Cancellation fees are recognized in revenues at the time of the cancellation. Revenues and expenses from our tour and travel services are recognized at the time the services are performed or expenses are incurred.

Our sale to passengers of air and other transportation to and from our ships and the related cost of purchasing this service is recorded as cruise passenger ticket revenues and cruise transportation costs, respectively, in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The proceeds that we collect from the sale of third party shore excursions and on behalf of onboard concessionaires, net of the amounts remitted to them, are recorded as concession revenues, on a net basis, in onboard and other cruise revenues.

Insurance/Self-Insurance

We use a combination of insurance and self-insurance for a number of risks including claims related to crew and passengers, hull and machinery, war risk, workers' compensation, property damage and general liability. Liabilities associated with certain of these risks, including crew and passenger claims, are estimated based on, among other things, historical claims experience, severity factors and other actuarial assumptions. Our expected loss accruals are based on estimates, and while we believe the amounts accrued are adequate the ultimate loss may differ from the amounts provided.

Selling and Administrative Expenses

Selling expenses include items such as advertising, marketing, promotional and related costs. Advertising costs are charged to expense as incurred except for brochures and media production costs. The brochures and media production costs are recorded as prepaid expenses and charged to expense as consumed or upon the first airing of the advertisement, respectively. Advertising expenses totaled \$464 million, \$455 million and \$464 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, the amount of advertising costs included in prepaid expenses was not significant. Administrative expenses represent the costs of our shoreside ship support, reservation and other administrative functions and include items such as salaries and related benefits, professional fees and occupancy costs, which are typically expensed as incurred.

Foreign Currency Translations and Transactions

Our foreign subsidiaries and affiliates that have functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar translate their assets and liabilities at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet dates. Revenues and expenses of these foreign subsidiaries and affiliates are translated at weighted-average exchange rates for the period. Equity is translated at historical rates, and the resulting cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments are included as a component of AOCI. Therefore, the U.S. dollar value of these non-equity translated items in our financial statements will fluctuate from period to period, depending on the changing value of the dollar against these local functional currencies.

Exchange gains and losses arising from the remeasurement of monetary assets and liabilities, and foreign currency transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the entity involved are immediately included in nonoperating earnings, unless such net liabilities have been designated to act as hedges of a net investment in our foreign subsidiaries. In addition, the unrealized exchange gains or losses on our long-term intercompany receivables denominated in a non-functional currency, which are not expected to be repaid in the foreseeable future and are therefore considered to form part of our net investment, are recorded as a foreign currency translation adjustment, which is included as a component of AOCI. Finally, net foreign currency transaction gains or losses recorded in our earnings were not significant in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock and ordinary shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing adjusted net income by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock and ordinary shares, common stock equivalents and other potentially dilutive securities outstanding during each period. All shares that are issuable under our outstanding convertible notes that have contingent share conversion features have been considered outstanding for our diluted earnings per share computations, if dilutive, using the "if converted" method of accounting from the date of issuance.

Share-Based Compensation

Effective December 1, 2005, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123(R)"), which requires us to measure and recognize compensation expense for all share-based compensation awards. We adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective application transition method. Under this method, the share-based compensation cost recognized beginning December 1, 2005 includes compensation cost for (i) all share-based payments granted prior to, but not vested as of, December 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value originally estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"), and (ii) all share-based payments granted subsequent to November 30, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). Prior to December 1, 2005, as allowed under the then outstanding accounting principles, we did not recognize compensation expense for the issuance of stock options with an exercise price equal to or greater than the market price of the underlying shares at the date of grant.

Compensation cost under SFAS No. 123(R) is recognized ratably using the straight-line attribution method over the expected vesting period or to the retirement eligibility date, if less than the vesting period, when vesting is not contingent upon any future performance. In addition, pursuant to SFAS No. 123(R) we are required to estimate the amount of expected forfeitures, which we estimate based on historical forfeiture experience, when calculating compensation cost. If the actual forfeitures that occur are different than the estimate, then we will revise our estimates. The effect of adopting SFAS No. 123(R) has been to reduce our net income by \$57 million and our basic and diluted earnings per share by \$0.07 for the year ended November 30, 2006. Prior periods are not restated under this transition method.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

As part of our ongoing control procedures, we monitor concentrations of credit risk associated with financial and other institutions with which we conduct significant business. Credit risk, including counterparty nonperformance under derivative instruments, contingent obligations and new ship progress payment guarantees, is considered minimal, as we primarily conduct business with large, well-established financial institutions who have long-term credit ratings of A or above and we seek to diversify our counterparties. In addition, we have established guidelines regarding credit ratings and investment maturities that we follow to maintain safety and liquidity. We do not anticipate nonperformance by any of our significant counterparties.

We also monitor the creditworthiness of foreign travel agencies and tour operators to which we grant credit terms in the normal course of our business. Concentrations of credit risk associated with these receivables are considered minimal primarily due to their short maturities and the large number of accounts within our customer base. We have experienced only minimal credit losses on our trade receivables. We do not normally require collateral or other security to support normal credit sales. However, we do normally require collateral and/or guarantees to support notes receivable on significant asset sales and new ship progress payments to shipyards.

Reclassifications

We have reclassified certain prior period amounts to conform them to the current period presentation primarily as a result of our adopting a new chart of accounts in conjunction with our initial implementation of a new worldwide accounting system in the second quarter of

2006. During this implementation, we identified certain differences among our operating subsidiaries and, accordingly, we have recorded the appropriate reclassifications in the prior periods to improve comparability.

NOTE 3 - DLC Structure

On April 17, 2003, Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc (formerly known as P&O Princess) completed a DLC transaction, which implemented Carnival Corporation & plc's DLC structure. The contracts governing the DLC structure provide that Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc each continue to have separate boards of directors, but the boards and senior executive management of both companies are identical. The amendments to the constituent documents of each of the companies also provide that, on most matters, the holders of the common equity of both companies effectively vote as a single body. On specified matters where the interests of Carnival Corporation's shareholders may differ from the interests of Carnival plc's shareholders (a "class rights action"), each shareholder body will vote separately as a class, such as transactions primarily designed to amend or unwind the DLC structure. Generally, no class rights action will be implemented unless approved by both shareholder bodies.

Upon the closing of the DLC transaction, Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc also executed the Equalization and Governance Agreement, which provides for the equalization of dividends and liquidation distributions based on an equalization ratio and contains provisions relating to the governance of the DLC structure. Because the current equalization ratio is 1 to 1, one Carnival plc ordinary share is entitled to the same distributions, subject to the terms of the Equalization and Governance Agreement, as one share of Carnival Corporation common stock. In a liquidation of either company or both companies, if the hypothetical potential per share liquidation distributions to each company's shareholders are not equivalent, taking into account the relative value of the two companies' assets and the indebtedness of each company, to the extent that one company has greater net assets so that any liquidation distribution to its shareholders would not be equivalent on a per share basis, the company with the ability to make a higher net distribution is required to make a payment to the other company to equalize the possible net distribution to shareholders, subject to certain exceptions.

At the closing of the DLC transaction, Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc also executed deeds of guarantee. Under the terms of Carnival Corporation's deed of guarantee, Carnival Corporation has agreed to guarantee all indebtedness and certain other monetary obligations of Carnival plc that are incurred under agreements entered into on or after the closing date of the DLC transaction. The terms of Carnival plc's deed of guarantee are identical to those of Carnival Corporation's. In addition, Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc have each extended their respective deeds of guarantee to the other's pre-DLC indebtedness and certain other monetary obligations, or alternatively have provided standalone guarantees in lieu of utilization of these deeds of guarantee, thus effectively cross guaranteeing all Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc indebtedness and certain other monetary obligations. Each deed of guarantee provides that the creditors to whom the obligations are owed are intended third party beneficiaries of such deed of guarantee.

The deeds of guarantee are governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the Isle of Man. Subject to the terms of the guarantees, the holders of indebtedness and other obligations that are subject to the guarantees will have recourse to both Carnival plc and Carnival Corporation though a Carnival plc creditor must first make written demand on Carnival plc and a Carnival Corporation creditor on Carnival Corporation. Once the written demand is made by letter or other form of notice, the holders of indebtedness or other obligations may immediately commence an action against the relevant guarantor. Accordingly, there is no requirement under the deeds of guarantee to obtain a judgment, take other enforcement actions or wait any period of time prior to taking steps against the relevant guarantor. All actions or proceedings arising out of or in connection with the deeds of guarantee must be exclusively brought in courts in England.

Under the terms of the DLC transaction documents, Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc are permitted to transfer assets between the companies, make loans or investments in each other and otherwise enter into intercompany transactions. The companies have entered into some of these types of transactions and expect to enter into additional transactions in the

future to take advantage of the flexibility provided by the DLC structure and to operate both companies as a single unified economic enterprise in the most effective manner. In addition, under the terms of the Equalization and Governance Agreement and the deeds of guarantee, the cash flow and assets of one company are required to be used to pay the obligations of the other company, if necessary.

Given the DLC structure as described above, we believe that providing separate financial statements for each of Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc would not present a true and fair view of the economic realities of their operations. Accordingly, separate financial statements for both Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc have not been presented.

Simultaneously with the completion of the DLC transaction, a partial share offer ("PSO") for 20% of Carnival plc's shares was made and accepted, which enabled 20% of Carnival plc shares to be exchanged for 41.7 million Carnival Corporation shares. The 41.7 million shares of Carnival plc held by Carnival Corporation as a result of the PSO, which cost \$1.05 billion, are being accounted for as treasury stock in the accompanying balance sheets.

NOTE 4 - Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in millions):

	November 30,	
	2006	2005
Ships	\$ 26,054	\$ 23,506
Ships under construction	922	540
	26,976	24,046
Land, buildings and improvements, and port facilities	675	593
Transportation equipment and other	762	692
Total property and equipment	28,413	25,331
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(4,955)	(4,019)
	\$ 23,458	\$ 21,312
	=====	=====

Capitalized interest, primarily on our ships under construction, amounted to \$37 million, \$21 million and \$26 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Amounts related to ships under construction include progress payments for the construction of the ship, as well as design and engineering fees, capitalized interest, construction oversight costs and various owner supplied items. At November 30, 2006, seven ships with an aggregate net book value of \$2.62 billion were pledged as collateral pursuant to mortgages related to \$1.23 billion of debt and a \$485 million contingent obligation (see Notes 5 and 6).

Repairs and maintenance and minor replacement expenses, including dry-dock expenses were \$518 million, \$554 million and \$419 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

NOTE 5 - Debt

Short-Term Borrowings

At November 30, 2006 and 2005, unsecured short-term borrowings consisted of U.S. bank loans and U.S. commercial paper of \$381 million and \$113 million, respectively, and Euro bank loans and Euro commercial paper of \$57 million and \$187 million, respectively. These short-term borrowings had weighted-average interest rates of 5.2% and 3.1% at November 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in millions):

	November 30,	
	----- 2006(a)	2005(a) -----
Secured		
Floating rate notes, collateralized by four ships, bearing interest from libor plus 1.13% to libor plus 1.29% (6.5% to 6.8% at 2006 and 4.9% to 5.7% at 2005), due through 2015(b)	\$ 672	\$ 788
Fixed rate notes, collateralized by two ships, bearing interest at 5.4% and 5.5%, due through 2016(b)	379	380
Euro floating rate note, collateralized by one ship, bearing interest at euribor plus 0.5% (4.0% at 2006 and 2.75% at 2005), due through 2008	43	64
Euro fixed rate note, collateralized by one ship, bearing interest at 4.74%, due through 2012	134	142
Other	1	2
	-----	-----
Total Secured	1,229	1,376
	-----	-----
Unsecured		
Fixed rate notes, bearing interest at 3.75% to 7.2%, due through 2028(c)	2,542	2,239
Euro fixed rate notes, bearing interest at 4.4% in 2006 and 5.57% in 2005, due in 2013(d)(e)	985	355
Euro floating rate notes, bearing interest at euribor plus 0.25% to euribor plus 0.47% (3.83% at 2006 and 2.4% to 2.6% at 2005), due through 2010(e)	486	933
Sterling fixed rate notes, bearing interest at 5.63%, due in 2012	415	372
Sterling floating rate note, bearing interest at GBP libor plus 0.33% (5.52% at 2006 and 4.91% at 2005), due in 2010	322	285
Other	34	34
Convertible notes, bearing interest at 2%, due in 2021, with next put option in 2008	599	600
Convertible notes, bearing interest at 1.75%, net of discount, with a face value of \$889 million, due in 2033, with first put option in 2008	575	575
Zero-coupon convertible notes, net of discount, with a face value of \$386 million at 2006 and \$510 million at 2005, due in 2021, with next put option in 2008	222	283
	-----	-----
Total Unsecured	6,180	5,676
	-----	-----
	7,409	7,052
Less portion due within one year	(1,054)	(1,325)
	-----	-----
	\$ 6,355	\$ 5,727
	=====	=====

- (a) All interest rates are as of year ends. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, 56%, 30% and 14% of our long-term debt was U.S. dollar, euro and sterling denominated, respectively, including the effect of foreign currency swaps.
- (b) A portion of two Princess ships has been financed with borrowings having both fixed and variable interest rate components.
- (c) In May 2006, we borrowed \$352 million under an unsecured term loan facility to pay a portion of the Crown Princess purchase price. This facility bears interest at 4.51% and is repayable in semi-annual installments through May 2018.
- (d) In November 2006, we issued E750 million of bonds (\$985 million U.S. dollars at the November 30, 2006 exchange rate), which have an effective interest rate of 4.4% and are due in 2013. The net proceeds of the bonds were primarily used to repay outstanding euro commercial paper and the balance will be used to repay other current obligations subsequent to November 30, 2006.
- (e) During 2006, we repaid \$361 million of Costa's fixed rate euro notes and \$527 million of their floating rate euro notes.

At November 30, 2006, the scheduled annual maturities of our long-term debt was as follows (in millions): \$1,054, \$1,930, \$202, \$1,108, \$202 and \$2,913 in fiscal 2007 through 2011 and thereafter, respectively. The fiscal 2008 maturities include \$1.40 billion of Carnival Corporation's Zero-Coupon Notes, 2% Notes and 1.75% Notes based on the date of the noteholders' next put option.

Debt issuance costs are generally amortized to interest expense using the straight-line method, which amount approximates the effective interest method, over the term of the notes or the noteholders first put option date, whichever is earlier. In addition, all loan issue discounts are amortized to interest expense using the effective interest rate method over the term of the notes.

Convertible Notes

Carnival Corporation's 2% convertible notes ("2% Notes"), 1.75% convertible notes ("1.75% Notes") and zero-coupon convertible notes ("Zero-Coupon Notes") are convertible into 15.3 million shares, a maximum of 20.9 million shares (10.8 million shares during fiscal 2006) and 6.4 million shares, respectively, of Carnival Corporation common stock.

The 2% Notes are convertible at a conversion price of \$39.14 per share, subject to adjustment, during any fiscal quarter for which the closing price of the Carnival Corporation common stock is greater than \$43.05 per share for a defined duration of time in the preceding fiscal quarter. The conditions for conversion of the 2% Notes were satisfied throughout 2005 and during the first and last quarters of fiscal 2006. A nominal amount of 2% Notes were converted in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004.

The 1.75% Notes are convertible at a conversion price of \$53.11 per share, subject to adjustment, during any fiscal quarter for which the closing price of the Carnival Corporation common stock is greater than a specified trigger price for a defined duration of time in the preceding fiscal quarter. During the fiscal quarters ending from August 31, 2003 through April 29, 2008, the trigger price is \$63.73 per share. Thereafter, this conversion trigger price increases each quarter based on an annual rate of 1.75%, until maturity. In addition, holders may also surrender the 1.75% Notes for conversion if they have been called for redemption or for other specified occurrences, including the credit rating assigned to the 1.75% Notes being Baa3 or lower by Moody's Investors Service and BBB- or lower by Standard & Poor's Rating Services, as well as certain corporate transactions. The 1.75% Notes interest is payable in cash semi-annually in arrears through April 29, 2008. Effective April 30, 2008, the 1.75% Notes no longer require a cash interest payment, but interest will accrete at a 1.75% yield to maturity.

The Zero-Coupon Notes have a 3.75% yield to maturity and are convertible during any fiscal quarter for which the closing price of the Carnival Corporation common stock is greater than a specified trigger price for a defined duration of time in the preceding fiscal quarter. The trigger price increases at an annual rate of 3.75% until maturity. The trigger price was \$38.11 for the 2006 fourth quarter, and the conversion price was \$34.64. During fiscal 2006 and 2005, \$69 million and \$297 million of our Zero-Coupon Notes were converted at their accreted value into 2.1 million and 9.0 million shares of Carnival Corporation common stock, of which 1.9 million and 6.2 million shares were issued from treasury stock, respectively. No Zero-Coupon Notes were converted prior to fiscal 2005.

At November 30, 2005, the Zero-Coupon Notes were classified as a current liability, since the noteholders had the right to require us to repurchase them on October 24, 2006. At November 30, 2006, we have again classified our Zero-Coupon Notes as long-term debt, since the next date that the noteholders can require us to repurchase them is on October 24, 2008.

Subsequent to April 28, 2008 and October 23, 2008, we may redeem all or a portion of the 1.75% Notes and Zero-Coupon Notes, respectively, at their accreted values and subsequent to April 14, 2008, we may redeem all or a portion of our 2% Notes at their face value plus any unpaid accrued interest, subject to the noteholders' right to convert.

In addition, on April 29 of 2008, 2013, 2018, 2023 and 2028 the 1.75% noteholders, on April 15 of 2008 and 2011 the 2% noteholders and on October 24 of 2008, 2011 and 2016 the Zero-Coupon noteholders may require us to repurchase all or a portion of the outstanding

1.75% Notes and Zero-Coupon Notes at their accreted values and the 2% Notes at their face value plus any unpaid accrued interest.

Upon conversion, redemption or repurchase of the 1.75% Notes, the 2% Notes and the Zero-Coupon Notes, we may choose to deliver Carnival Corporation common stock, cash or a combination of cash and common stock with a total value equal to the value of the consideration otherwise deliverable.

Revolving Credit and Committed Financing Facilities

Carnival Corporation, Carnival plc and certain of Carnival plc's subsidiaries are parties to an unsecured multi-currency revolving credit facility for \$1.2 billion, E400 million and L200 million (aggregating \$2.12 billion U.S. dollars at the November 30, 2006 exchange rates) (the "Facility"). The Facility currently bears interest at *libor/euribor* plus a margin of 17.5 basis points ("BPS"). In addition, we are required to pay a commitment fee of 30% of the margin per annum. Both the margin and the commitment fee will vary based on changes to Carnival Corporation's senior unsecured credit ratings. In September 2006, this Facility's expiration date was extended from October 2010 to October 2011.

Our multi-currency commercial paper programs are supported by this Facility and, accordingly, any amounts outstanding under our commercial paper programs effectively reduce the aggregate amount available under this Facility. At November 30, 2006, we had borrowed \$160 million under our commercial paper program, which is classified as a short-term borrowing since we do not expect to refinance it using proceeds from our long-term Facility. This Facility also supports L46 million (\$91 million U.S. dollars at the November 30, 2006 exchange rate) of bonds issued by the Facility lenders on behalf of Carnival Corporation & plc. At November 30, 2006, \$1.87 billion was available under the Facility, based on the November 30, 2006 exchange rates.

At November 30, 2006, we had a total of seven separate unsecured long-term ship loan financing facilities under which we have the option to borrow up to an aggregate of \$2.55 billion to finance a portion of the purchase price of seven new ships currently under contract. These ships are expected to be delivered through 2009. These facilities are repayable semi-annually over a 12 year period. However, we have the option to terminate each facility up until 60 days prior to the underlying ship's delivery date.

The Facility and our other loan and derivative agreements contain covenants that require us, among other things, to maintain minimum debt service coverage and minimum shareholders' equity, and to limit our debt to capital and debt to equity ratios, and the amounts of our secured assets and secured indebtedness. Generally, if an event of default under any loan agreement is triggered, then pursuant to cross default acceleration clauses, substantially all of our outstanding debt and derivative contract payables could become due and the underlying facilities could be terminated. At November 30, 2006, we were in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

NOTE 6 - Commitments

Ship Commitments

A description of our ships under contract for construction at November 30, 2006, as adjusted for our new ship orders through January 2007, was as follows:

Brand and Ship -----	Expected Service Date(a)	Passenger Capacity	Estimated Total Cost(b)		
			Euros	Sterling	USD
----- (in millions) -----					
Carnival Cruise Lines					
Carnival Freedom	3/07	2,974			\$ 505
Carnival Splendor	7/08	3,000	(euro) 485		
Carnival Dream	10/09	3,652	565		
Carnival Magic(c)	6/11	3,652	565		
Total Carnival Cruise Lines		13,278	1,615		505
Princess					
Emerald Princess	4/07	3,100			540
Newbuild	11/08	3,100			580
Total Princess		6,200			1,120
Holland America Line					
Eurodam	7/08	2,044			465
Costa(d)					
Costa Serena	6/07	3,000	475		
Newbuild	5/09	2,260	420		
Newbuild	6/09	3,000	485		
Newbuild(c)	4/10	2,260	420		
Total Costa		10,520	1,800		
AIDA(d)					
AIDAdiva	4/07	2,050	315		
Newbuild	4/08	2,050	315		
Newbuild	4/09	2,050	315		
Newbuild	4/10	2,050	335		
Total AIDA		8,200	1,280		
Seabourn					
Newbuild	6/09	450	200		
Newbuild	6/10	450	200		
Total Seabourn		900	400		
P&O Cruises					
Ventura(e)	4/08	3,076		(pound) 360	
Newbuild(c)	6/10	3,076	535		
Total P&O Cruises		6,152			
Cunard					
Queen Victoria(e)	12/07	2,014		305	
Total Euro Commitments			(euro) 5,630		
Total Euro Commitments converted to USD(f)					7,430
Total Sterling Commitments				(pound) 665	
Total Sterling Commitments converted to USD(f)					1,300
Grand Total		49,308			
Grand Total in USD					\$ 10,820

(a) The expected service date is the month in which the ship is currently expected to begin its first revenue generating cruise.

- (b) Estimated total cost of the completed ship includes the contract price with the shipyard, design and engineering fees, capitalized interest, construction oversight costs and various owner supplied items. All of our ship construction contracts are with the Fincantieri shipyards in Italy, except for AIDA's and Seabourn's which are with the Meyer Werft shipyard in Germany and T. Mariotti shipyard in Italy, respectively. In addition, the estimated total cost reflects the currency denomination that we are committed to expend, including the effect of foreign currency swaps.
- (c) These construction contracts aggregating E1.52 billion were entered into after November 30, 2006.
- (d) These construction contracts are denominated in euros, which is the functional currency of the cruise brand which will operate the ship.
- (e) These construction contracts are denominated in euros, except for \$45 million of the Queen Victoria costs, which are denominated in USD. The euro and USD denominated contract amounts have been fixed into sterling, which is the cruise ship's functional currency, by utilizing foreign currency swaps.
- (f) The estimated total costs of these contracts denominated in euros and sterling have been translated into U.S. dollars using the November 30, 2006 exchange rates.

In connection with our cruise ships under contract for construction listed above, we have paid \$922 million through November 30, 2006 and anticipate paying the remaining estimated total costs as follows: \$2.65 billion, \$2.51 billion, \$2.43 billion, \$1.69 billion and \$617 million in fiscal 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Operating Leases

Rent expense under our operating leases, primarily for office and warehouse space, was \$47 million in fiscal 2006 and \$50 million in each of fiscal 2005 and 2004. At November 30, 2006, minimum annual rentals for our operating leases, with initial or remaining terms in excess of one year, were as follows (in millions): \$40, \$36, \$34, \$31 and \$29 and \$180 in fiscal 2007 through 2011 and thereafter, respectively.

Port Facilities and Other

At November 30, 2006, we had commitments through 2052, with initial or remaining terms in excess of one year, to pay minimum amounts for our annual usage of port facilities and other contractual commitments as follows (in millions): \$107, \$97, \$83, \$74, \$61, and \$217 in fiscal 2007 through 2011 and thereafter, respectively.

NOTE 7 - Contingencies

Litigation

On September 21, 2006, a class action complaint was filed on behalf of a purported class of past passengers against Holland America Line ("HAL") in the U.S. The complaint alleges that HAL (a) failed to disclose that shore excursion vendors paid HAL to promote their services as required by an Alaska statute, and (b) collected and retained payment from passengers for Passenger Vessel Service Act ("PSVA") violations in certain instances when HAL did not actually incur the fines. The complaint seeks (i) certification as a class action, (ii) statutory damages under Alaska's consumer protection statutes, (iii) damages for each PSVA fine collected and additional damages for each PSVA fine collected where no fine was imposed, (iv) injunctive relief and (v) attorneys' fees, costs and interest. The ultimate outcome of this action cannot be determined at this time. However, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to these claims and intend to vigorously defend this matter.

In January 2006, a lawsuit was filed against Carnival Corporation and its subsidiaries and affiliates, and other non-affiliated cruise lines in New York on behalf of a purported class of owners of intellectual property rights to musical plays and other works performed in the U.S. The plaintiffs claim infringement of copyrights to Broadway, off Broadway and other plays. The suit seeks payment of (i) damages, (ii) disgorgement of alleged profits and (iii) an injunction against future infringement. In the event that an award is given in favor of the plaintiffs, the amount of damages, if any, which Carnival Corporation and its subsidiaries and affiliates would have to pay is not currently determinable. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time. However, we intend to vigorously defend this matter.

In the normal course of our business, various other claims and lawsuits have been filed or are pending against us. Most of these claims and lawsuits are covered by insurance and, accordingly, the maximum amount of our liability, net of any insurance recoverables, is typically limited to our self-insurance retention levels. However, the ultimate outcome of these claims and lawsuits which are not covered by insurance cannot be determined at this time.

Contingent Obligations

At November 30, 2006, Carnival Corporation had contingent obligations totaling approximately \$1.07 billion to participants in lease out and lease back type transactions for three of its ships. At the inception of the leases, the entire amount of the contingent obligations was paid by Carnival Corporation to major financial institutions to enable them to directly pay these obligations. Accordingly, these obligations were considered extinguished, and neither the funds nor the contingent obligations have been included on our balance sheets. Carnival Corporation would only be required to make any payments under these contingent obligations in the remote event of nonperformance by these financial institutions, all of which have long-term credit ratings of AA or higher. In addition, Carnival Corporation obtained a direct guarantee from AA or higher rated financial institutions for \$284 million of the above noted contingent obligations, thereby further reducing the already remote exposure to this portion of the contingent obligations. In certain cases, if the credit ratings of the major financial institutions who are directly paying the contingent obligations fall below AA-, then Carnival Corporation will be required to move those funds being held by those institutions to other financial institutions whose credit ratings are AA- or above. If Carnival Corporation's credit rating, which is A-, falls below BBB, it would be required to provide a standby letter of credit for \$80 million, or alternatively provide mortgages in the aggregate amount of \$80 million on two of its ships.

In the unlikely event that Carnival Corporation was to terminate the three lease agreements early or default on its obligations, it would, as of November 30, 2006, have to pay a total of \$176 million in stipulated damages. As of November 30, 2006, \$180 million of standby letters of credit have been issued by a major financial institution in order to provide further security for the payment of these contingent stipulated damages. In addition, in 2004 a \$170 million back-up letter of credit was issued in support of these standby letters of credit. Between 2017 and 2022, we have the right to exercise options that would terminate these three lease transactions at no cost to us. As a result of these three transactions, we have \$37 million and \$40 million of deferred income recorded on our balance sheets as of November 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which is being amortized to nonoperating other income through 2022.

Some of the debt agreements that we enter into include indemnification provisions that obligate us to make payments to the counterparty if certain events occur. These contingencies generally relate to changes in taxes, changes in laws that increase lender capital costs and other similar costs. The indemnification clauses are often standard contractual terms and were entered into in the normal course of business. There are no stated or notional amounts included in the indemnification clauses and we are not able to estimate the maximum potential amount of future payments, if any, under these indemnification clauses. We have not been required to make any material payments under such indemnification clauses in the past and, under current circumstances, we do not believe a request for material future indemnification payments is probable.

War Risk Insurance

We maintain war risk insurance, subject to coverage limits, deductibles and exclusions for claims such as those arising from chemical, nuclear and biological attacks, on all of our ships covering our legal liability to crew, passengers and other third parties as well as loss or damage to our vessels arising from war or war-like actions, including terrorist risks. Under the terms of our war risk insurance coverage, which is typical for war risk policies in the marine industry, underwriters can give seven days notice to the insured that the policies will be cancelled.

NOTE 8 - Income and Other Taxes

We are foreign corporations primarily engaged in the international operation of vessels. Generally, income from the international operation of vessels is subject to preferential tax regimes in the countries where the vessel owning companies are incorporated and exempt from income tax in other countries where the vessels call due to the application of income tax treaties or, in the case of the U.S., Section 883 of the Internal Revenue Code. Income we earn that is not associated with the international operation of ships, primarily the transportation, hotel and tour businesses of Holland America Tours and Princess Tours, is subject to income tax in the countries where such income is earned.

For fiscal 2004, we believe that substantially all of our income, with the exception of our U.S. source income principally from the transportation, hotel and tour businesses of Holland America Tours and Princess Tours, was derived from, or incidental to, the international operation of ships, and is therefore exempt from U.S. federal income taxes. For fiscal 2005, regulations under Section 883 of the Internal Revenue Code limiting the types of income considered to be derived from the international operation of a ship first became effective. Section 883 is the primary provision upon which we rely to exempt most of our international ship operation earnings from U.S. income taxes. Accordingly, the 2006 and 2005 provisions for U.S. federal income taxes include taxes on a portion of our ship operating income that is in addition to the U.S. source transportation, hotel and tour income on which U.S. taxes have historically been provided. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2005 and first quarter of 2006 we chartered three ships to the Military Sealift Command in connection with the Hurricane Katrina relief effort. Income from these charters is not considered to be income from the international operation of our ships and, accordingly, approximately \$11 million and \$18 million of income taxes were provided on the net earnings of these charters in fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively, at an effective tax rate of approximately 57%.

If we were found not to qualify for exemption pursuant to applicable income tax treaties or under the Internal Revenue Code or if the income tax treaties or Internal Revenue Code were to be changed in a manner adverse to us, a portion of our income would become subject to taxation by the U.S. at higher than normal corporate tax rates.

P&O Cruises, Cunard, Ocean Village, P&O Cruises Australia, Swan Hellenic, AIDA and Costa, since the beginning of fiscal 2005, are subject to income tax under the tonnage tax regimes of either the United Kingdom or Italy. Under both tonnage tax regimes, shipping profits, as defined under the applicable law, are subject to corporation tax by reference to the net tonnage of qualifying vessels. Income not considered to be shipping profits under tonnage tax rules is taxable under either the normal UK income tax rules or the Italian tax regime applicable to Italian-registered ships. We believe that substantially all of the ordinary income attributable to these brands constitutes shipping profits and, accordingly, Italian and UK income tax expenses for these operations have been and are expected to be minimal under the current tax regimes.

We do not expect to incur income taxes on future distributions of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries and, accordingly, no deferred income taxes have been provided for the distribution of these earnings.

In addition to or in place of income taxes, virtually all jurisdictions where our ships call impose taxes based on passenger counts, ship tonnage or some other measure. These taxes, other than those directly charged to and/or collected from passengers by us on a per passenger headcount basis, are recorded as operating expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

NOTE 9 - Shareholders' Equity

Carnival Corporation's articles of incorporation authorize its Board of Directors, at its discretion, to issue up to 40 million shares of preferred stock, and Carnival plc has 100,000 authorized preference shares. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, no Carnival Corporation preferred stock had been issued and only a nominal amount of Carnival plc preference shares had been issued.

During 2004 the Boards of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an aggregate of \$1 billion of Carnival Corporation common stock and/or Carnival plc ordinary shares. We completed this \$1 billion repurchase program on June 29, 2006. An additional \$1 billion repurchase authorization was approved by the Boards of Directors in June 2006 subject to certain restrictions. During fiscal 2006 and 2005, we purchased 18.7 million and 8.0 million shares of Carnival Corporation common stock, respectively, and 0.6 million shares of Carnival plc ordinary shares in fiscal 2006. At February 9, 2007, the remaining availability pursuant to our 2006 share repurchase program was \$773 million. No expiration date has been specified for this authorization.

At November 30, 2006, there were 71.7 million shares of Carnival Corporation common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to its convertible notes and its employee benefit and dividend reinvestment plans. In addition, Carnival plc shareholders have authorized 12.9 million ordinary shares for future issuance under its employee benefit plans.

At November 30, 2006 and 2005 accumulated other comprehensive income was as follows (in millions):

	2006	2005
	-----	-----
Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments, net	\$ 689	\$ 193
Minimum pension liability adjustments	(17)	(19)
Unrealized losses on cash flow derivative hedges, net	(11)	(15)
	-----	-----
	\$ 661	\$ 159
	=====	=====

NOTE 10 - Financial Instruments

Whenever possible, quoted prices in active markets are used to determine the fair value of financial instruments. However, considerable judgment is required in interpreting data to develop estimates for fair values for which there is no active market and, accordingly, amounts are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that we could realize in an active market exchange. Our financial instruments are not held for trading or other speculative purposes.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments

The carrying amounts of our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments approximate their fair values due to their short maturities or variable interest rates.

Other Assets

At November 30, 2006 and 2005, long-term other assets included notes and other receivables and marketable securities held in rabbi trusts for certain of our nonqualified benefit plans. These assets had carrying and fair values of \$440 million and \$445 million at November 30, 2006, respectively, and carrying and fair values of \$406 million and \$405 million at November 30, 2005. Fair values were based on public market prices, estimated discounted future cash flows or estimated fair value of collateral.

Debt

The fair values of our non-convertible debt and convertible notes were \$6.06 billion and \$1.73 billion, respectively, at November 30, 2006 and \$5.98 billion and \$2.03 billion at November 30, 2005. These fair values were greater than the related carrying values by \$50 million and \$338 million, respectively, at November 30, 2006 and by \$86 million and \$572 million at November 30, 2005. The net difference between the fair value of our non-convertible debt and its carrying value was due primarily to our issuance of debt obligations at fixed interest rates that are above market interest rates in existence at the measurement dates. The net difference between the fair value of our convertible notes and their carrying value is largely due to the impact of changes in the Carnival Corporation common stock price on the value of our convertible notes on those measurement dates. The fair values of our unsecured fixed rate public notes, convertible notes, sterling bonds and unsecured 5.57% euro notes were based on their public market prices. The fair values of our other debt were estimated based on appropriate market interest rates being applied to this debt.

Foreign Currency Swaps and Other Hedging Instruments

At November 30, 2006, we have foreign currency swaps that are designated as foreign currency fair value hedges for two of our euro denominated shipbuilding contracts (see Note 6). At November 30, 2006 and 2005, the fair value of the foreign currency swaps related to our shipbuilding commitments was an unrealized loss of \$26 million and a net unrealized gain of \$29 million, respectively. These foreign currency swaps mature through 2008.

At November 30, 2006, we have foreign currency swaps totaling \$1.25 billion that are designated as hedges of our net investments in foreign subsidiaries, which have euro and sterling denominated functional currencies. These foreign currency swaps were entered into to effectively convert \$267 million and \$842 million of U.S. dollar denominated debt into sterling debt and euro debt (\$237 million and \$736 million at November 30, 2005), respectively. In addition, \$143 million and \$138 million of euro denominated debt was effectively converted into sterling debt at November 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, the fair value of these foreign currency swaps was an unrealized loss of \$169 million and \$58 million, respectively, which is included in the cumulative translation adjustment component of AOCI. These currency swaps mature through 2017.

The fair values of these foreign currency swaps were estimated based on prices quoted by financial institutions for these instruments based on active market prices for these instruments.

Finally, as of November 30, 2006 we have designated \$1.02 billion and \$431 million of our outstanding euro and sterling debt and other obligations, which mature through 2013, as nonderivative hedges of our net investments in foreign subsidiaries and, accordingly, we have included \$209 million and \$68 million of foreign currency transaction losses in the cumulative translation adjustment component of AOCI at November 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Interest Rate Swaps

We have interest rate swap agreements designated as fair value hedges whereby we receive fixed interest rate payments in exchange for making variable interest rate payments. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, these interest rate swap agreements effectively changed \$932 million and \$926 million, respectively, of fixed rate debt to libor-based floating rate debt. These interest rate swap agreements mature through 2010. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, the fair value of our interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges was a net unrealized loss of \$4 million and \$7 million, respectively.

We also have interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges whereby we receive variable interest rate payments in exchange for making fixed interest rate payments. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, these interest rate swap agreements effectively changed \$365 million and \$1.25 billion, respectively, of libor-based floating rate debt to fixed rate debt. These interest rate swap agreements mature through 2010. At November 30, 2006 and 2005, the fair value of our interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges was an unrealized gain of \$2 million and an unrealized loss of \$6 million, respectively.

The estimated fair values of our interest rate swap agreements were obtained from valuations performed by financial institutions based on active market prices for these instruments.

NOTE 11 - Segment Information

Our cruise segment includes all of our cruise brands, which have been aggregated as a single reportable segment based on the similarity of their economic and other characteristics, including products and services they provide. Substantially all of our other segment represents the hotel, tour and transportation operations of Holland America Tours and Princess Tours. The significant accounting policies of our segments are the same as those described in Note 2 - "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." Information for our cruise and other segments as of and for the years ended November 30 was as follows (in millions):

	Revenues(a)	Operating expenses	Selling and administrative	Depreciation and amortization	Operating income	Capital expenditures	Total assets
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
2006							
Cruise	\$ 11,417	\$ 6,477	\$ 1,405	\$ 954	\$ 2,581	\$ 2,395	\$ 29,968
Other	533	425	42	34	32	85	584(b)
Intersegment elimination	(111)	(111)					
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
	\$ 11,839	\$ 6,791	\$ 1,447	\$ 988	\$ 2,613	\$ 2,480	\$ 30,552
2005							
Cruise	\$ 10,737	\$ 5,964	\$ 1,289	\$ 873	\$ 2,611	\$ 1,892	\$ 27,782
Other	461	358	46	29	28	85	567(b)
Intersegment elimination	(104)	(104)					
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
	\$ 11,094	\$ 6,218	\$ 1,335	\$ 902	\$ 2,639	\$ 1,977	\$ 28,349
2004							
Cruise	\$ 9,427	\$ 5,292	\$ 1,231	\$ 791	\$ 2,113	\$ 3,512	\$ 27,048
Other	398	308	54	21	15	74	500(b)
Intersegment elimination	(98)	(98)					
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
	\$ 9,727	\$ 5,502	\$ 1,285	\$ 812	\$ 2,128	\$ 3,586	\$ 27,548

(a) A portion of other segment revenues include revenues for the cruise portion of a tour, when a cruise is sold along with a land tour package by Holland America Tours or Princess Tours, and shore excursion and port hospitality services provided to cruise passengers by these tour companies. These intersegment revenues, which are included in full in the cruise segment, are eliminated from the other segment revenues in the line "Intersegment elimination."

(b) Other segment assets primarily included hotels and lodges in Alaska and the Canadian Yukon, motorcoaches used for sightseeing and charters and private, domed rail cars, which run on the Alaska Railroad.

Foreign revenues for our cruise brands represent sales generated from outside the U.S. primarily by foreign tour operators and foreign travel agencies. Substantially all of our long-lived assets are located outside of the U.S. and consist principally of our ships and ships under construction and exclude goodwill and trademarks.

Revenue information by geographic area for fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 was as follows (in millions):

	2006	2005	2004
	-----	-----	-----
North America	\$ 7,679	\$ 7,283	\$ 6,421
Europe	3,473	3,231	2,902
Others	687	580	404
	=====	=====	=====
	\$ 11,839	\$ 11,094	\$ 9,727

NOTE 12 - Benefit Plans

Stock Incentive Plans

We issue our share-based compensation awards under the Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc stock plans, which have an aggregate of 39.1 million shares available for future grant at November 30, 2006. These plans allow us to issue stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units (collectively "incentive awards"). Incentive awards are primarily granted to management level employees and members of our Board of Directors. The plans are administered by a committee of our independent directors (the "Committee"), that determines

who is eligible to participate, the number of shares for which incentive awards are to be granted and the amounts that may be exercised within a specified term. These plans allow us to fulfill our incentive award obligations using shares purchased in the open market, or with unissued or treasury shares. Certain incentive awards provide for accelerated vesting if we have a change in control, as defined.

Effective December 1, 2005 we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), which required us to measure and recognize compensation expense for all share-based compensation awards. The total share-based compensation expense was \$68 million for fiscal 2006, of which \$60 million has been included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as selling, general and administrative expenses and \$8 million as cruise payroll expenses.

Prior to December 1, 2005, we did not recognize compensation expense for the issuance of stock options with an exercise price equal to or greater than the market price of the underlying shares at the date of grant. Had we elected to charge earnings for the estimated fair value of stock options in prior years, our pro forma net income and pro forma earnings per share would have been as follows (in millions, except per share amounts):

	Years ended November 30,	
	2005	2004
Net income, as reported	\$ 2,253	\$ 1,809
Share-based compensation expense included in net income, as reported	12	11
Total share-based compensation expense determined under the fair value-based method for all awards(a)	(86)	(66)
Pro forma net income for basic earnings per share	2,179	1,754
Interest on dilutive convertible notes	47	49
Pro forma net income for diluted earnings per share	\$ 2,226	\$ 1,803
Earnings per share		
Basic		
As reported	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.25
Pro forma	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.19
Diluted		
As reported	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.18
Pro forma	\$ 2.62	\$ 2.13

(a) These amounts include the expensing of stock options made to retirement-eligible employees over the expected vesting period of the option and accounting for the impact of forfeitures as they occur.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123 and SFAS No. 123(R), the fair values of options were estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes weighted-average values and assumptions were as follows:

	Years ended November 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
Fair value of options at the dates of grant	\$ 12.25	\$ 12.99	\$ 15.87
Risk free interest rate(a)	4.5%	4.1%	3.4%
Expected dividend yield	2.6%	1.90%	1.36%
Expected volatility(b)	29.2%	27.0%	35.0%
Expected option life (in years)(c)	4.75	4.74	5.75

(a) The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the expected option life assumed at the date of grant.

(b) The expected volatility is based on a weighting of the implied volatilities derived from our exchange traded options and convertible notes and the historical volatility of our common stock.

(c) The average expected life was based on the contractual term of the option and expected employee exercise behavior. Based on our assessment of employee groupings and observable behaviors, we determined that a single grouping is appropriate.

Stock Option Plans

The Committee generally sets stock option exercise prices at 100% or more of the fair market value of the underlying common stock/ordinary shares on the date the option is granted. All stock options granted during the years ended November 30, 2006 and 2005 were granted at an exercise price per share equal to or greater than the fair market value of the Carnival Corporation common stock and Carnival plc ordinary shares on the date of grant. Generally employee options either vest evenly over five years or at the end of three years. Our employee options granted prior to October 2005 have a ten-year term and those options granted thereafter have a seven-year term. Since fiscal 2001, Carnival Corporation director options vest evenly over five years and have a ten-year term.

A combined summary of Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc stock option activity during the year ended November 30, 2006 was as follows:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value(a) (in millions)
Outstanding at November 30, 2005	20,058,252	\$ 39.15		
Granted	2,401,712	\$ 50.51		
Exercised	(2,309,850)	\$ 28.78		
Forfeited or expired	(628,115)	\$ 41.29		

Outstanding at November 30, 2006	19,521,999	\$ 42.55	6.0	\$ 156
=====			=====	=====
Exercisable at November 30, 2006	9,999,345	\$ 38.18	5.1	\$ 117
=====			=====	=====

(a) The aggregate intrinsic value represents the amount by which the fair value of underlying stock exceeds the option exercise price at November 30, 2006.

As of the dates of exercise, the total intrinsic value of options exercised in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$48 million, \$37 million and \$77 million, respectively. As of November 30, 2006, there was \$86 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

Restricted Stock Awards and Restricted Stock Units

Restricted stock awards ("RSAs") generally have the same rights as Carnival Corporation common stock, except for transfer restrictions and forfeiture provisions. In prior periods, unearned stock compensation was recorded within shareholders' equity at the date of award based on the quoted market price of the Carnival Corporation common stock on the date of grant. In fiscal 2006 upon adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the \$13 million of unearned stock compensation as of November 30, 2005 was required to be charged against additional paid-in capital. RSAs have been granted to certain officers and non-executive board members and either have three or five-year cliff vesting or vest evenly over five years after the grant date. In addition, Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc grant restricted stock units ("RSUs"), which do not have an exercise price, and either vest evenly over five years or at the end of three or five years after the grant date and accrue dividend equivalents on each outstanding RSU, in the form of additional RSUs, based on dividends declared. The share-based compensation expense associated with RSAs and RSUs is based on the quoted market price of the Carnival Corporation or Carnival plc shares on the date of grant, and is amortized to expense using the straight-line method from the grant date through the earlier of the vesting date or the estimated retirement eligibility date.

During the year ended November 30, 2006 RSA and RSU activity was as follows:

	Restricted Stock Awards		Restricted Stock Units	
	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at November 30, 2005	966,417	\$36.28	159,117	\$44.56
Granted	169,711	\$49.69	275,272	\$52.37
Vested	(245,417)	\$31.32	(47,319)	\$30.07
Forfeited			(8,222)	\$51.87
Outstanding at November 30, 2006	890,711	\$40.20	378,848	\$51.88

The total grant date fair value of RSAs and RSUs vested during fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$9 million, \$8 million and \$10 million, respectively. As of November 30, 2006, there was \$19 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to RSAs and RSUs. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

We have several defined benefit pension plans, which cover some of our shipboard and shoreside employees. The U.S. and UK shoreside employee plans are closed to new membership and are funded at or above the level required by U.S. or UK regulations. The remaining defined benefit plans are primarily unfunded. In determining our plans' benefit obligations at November 30, 2006, we assumed weighted-average discount rates of 5.5% and 4.9% for our U.S. and foreign plans, respectively. The net liabilities related to the obligations under these single employer defined benefit pension plans are not material.

In addition, P&O Cruises, Princess and Cunard participate in an industry-wide British Merchant Navy Officers Pension Fund ("MNOFF"), which is a defined benefit multiemployer pension plan that is available to certain of their British shipboard officers. The MNOFF is divided into two sections, the "New Section" and the "Old Section," each of which covers a different group of participants, with the Old Section closed to further benefit accrual and the New Section only closed to new membership. At November 30, 2006, the New Section was estimated to have a funding deficit and the Old Section was estimated to have a funding surplus.

Substantially all of any MNOFF New Section deficit liability which we may have relates to P&O Cruises and Princess obligations, which existed prior to the DLC transaction. However, since the MNOFF is a multiemployer plan and it was not probable that we would withdraw from the plan nor was our share of the liability certain, we could not record our estimated share of the ultimate deficit as a Carnival plc acquisition liability that existed at the DLC transaction date. The amount of our share of the fund's ultimate deficit could vary considerably if different pension assumptions and/or estimates were used. Therefore, we expense our portion of any deficit as amounts are invoiced by, and became due and payable to, the fund's trustee. In August 2005, we received an invoice from the fund for what the trustee calculated to be our share of the entire MNOFF liability based on their March 31, 2003 actuarial study. Accordingly, we recorded the full invoiced liability of \$23 million in payroll and related expense in 2005. However, based on the MNOFF's March 31, 2006 preliminary valuation of the deficit liability as at that date, we expect to receive a second MNOFF invoice in mid-2007 of between approximately \$15 million and \$35 million, which represents our estimate of our additional share of the entire MNOFF liability. It is still possible that the fund's trustee may invoice us for additional amounts after this second invoice for various reasons, including if they believe the fund requires further funding.

Total expense for all defined benefit pension plans, including multiemployer plans, was \$28 million, \$45 million and \$18 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Defined Contribution Plans

We have several defined contribution plans available to most of our employees. We contribute to these plans based on employee contributions, salary levels and length of service. Total expense relating to these plans was \$17 million, \$14 million and \$13 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

NOTE 13 - Earnings Per Share

Our basic and diluted earnings per share were computed as follows (in millions, except per share data):

	Years Ended November 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
Net income	\$2,279	\$2,253	\$1,809
Interest on dilutive convertible notes	36	47	49
Net income for diluted earnings per share	\$2,315	\$2,300	\$1,858
Weighted-average common and ordinary shares outstanding	801	806	802
Dilutive effect of convertible notes	33	42	44
Dilutive effect of stock plans	2	5	5
Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	836	853	851
Basic earnings per share	\$ 2.85	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.25
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 2.77	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.18

Options to purchase 8.5 million, 2.1 million and 6.0 million shares for fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, were excluded from our diluted earnings per share computation since the effect of including them was anti-dilutive.

NOTE 14 - Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Total cash paid for interest was \$363 million, \$314 million and \$250 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In addition, cash paid for income taxes was \$47 million, \$15 million and \$8 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Finally, in 2006 and 2005, \$69 million and \$297 million of our Zero-Coupon Notes were converted through a combination of the issuance of Carnival Corporation treasury stock and newly issued Carnival Corporation common stock, which represented a noncash financing activity.

NOTE 15 - Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June, 2006 the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies, among other things, the accounting for uncertain income tax positions by prescribing a minimum probability threshold that a tax position must meet before a financial statement income tax benefit is recognized. The minimum threshold is defined as a tax position, that based solely on its technical merits is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the relevant taxing authority. The tax benefit to be recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 must be applied to all existing tax positions upon adoption. The cumulative effect of applying FIN 48 at adoption is required to be reported separately as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the year of adoption. FIN 48 is required to be implemented at the beginning of a fiscal year and is effective for Carnival Corporation & plc for fiscal 2008. We have not yet determined the impact of adopting FIN 48 on our financial statements.

In September, 2006 the FASB issued SFAS No.158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans--an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)," ("SFAS No. 158"), which requires an employer to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability on its balance sheet and to recognize changes in that funded status

in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income. SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of its year-end date and is first effective for Carnival Corporation & plc on November 30, 2007 with certain requirements allowing for later implementation. We have not yet determined the impact of adopting SFAS No. 158 on our financial statements, although based on the underfunded status of our plans at November 30, 2006, we do not believe the November 30, 2007 adoption will have a material impact on our financial position.

Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm
To the Boards of Directors and Shareholders of Carnival Corporation and Carnival
plc:

We have completed integrated audits of Carnival Corporation & plc's consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2006 in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and shareholders' equity present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Carnival Corporation & plc (comprising Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc and their respective subsidiaries, the "Company") at November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, effective December 1, 2005 the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment".

In addition, as discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, effective March 1, 2006 the Company elected to change its method of accounting for costs incurred in connection with its dry-dock activities.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A of the 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2006 based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of

financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Miami, Florida
February 12, 2007

Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results

Some of the statements contained in this 2006 Annual Report are "forward-looking statements" that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions with respect to us, including some statements concerning future results, outlook, plans, goals and other events which have not yet occurred. These statements are intended to qualify for the safe harbors from liability provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We have tried, wherever possible, to identify these statements by using words like "will," "may," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "forecast," "future," "intends," "plans," and "estimates" and similar expressions.

Because forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, there are many factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied in this 2006 Annual Report. Forward-looking statements include those statements which may impact the forecasting of our earnings per share, net revenue yields, booking levels, pricing, occupancy, operating, financing and/or tax costs, fuel costs, costs per available lower berth day ("ALBD"), estimates of ship depreciable lives and residual values, outlook or business prospects. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- general economic and business conditions, which may adversely impact the levels of our potential vacationers' discretionary income and this group's consumer confidence in the U.S. economy, and thereby reduce the net revenue yields for our cruise brands;
- the international political and economic climate, armed conflicts, terrorist attacks and threats thereof, availability of air service and other world events, and their impact on the demand for cruises;
- accidents, unusual weather conditions or natural disasters, such as hurricanes and earthquakes and other incidents (including machinery and equipment failures or improper operation thereof) which could cause the alteration of itineraries or cancellation of a cruise or series of cruises, and the impact of the spread of contagious diseases, affecting the health, safety, security and vacation satisfaction of passengers;
- adverse publicity concerning the cruise industry in general, or us in particular, could impact the demand for our cruises;
- conditions in the cruise and land-based vacation industries, including competition from other cruise ship operators and providers of other vacation alternatives and increases in capacity offered by cruise ship and land-based vacation alternatives;
- changing consumer preferences, which may, among other things, adversely impact the demand for cruises;
- changes in and compliance with the environmental, health, safety, security, tax and other regulatory regimes under which we operate, including the implementation of U.S. regulations requiring U.S. citizens to obtain passports for sea travel to or from additional foreign destinations;
- the impact of changes in operating and financing costs, including changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates and fuel, food, insurance, payroll and security costs;
- our ability to implement our shipbuilding programs and brand strategies and to continue to expand our business worldwide;
- our future operating cash flow may not be sufficient to fund future obligations and we may not be able to obtain financing, if necessary, on terms that are favorable or consistent with our expectations;
- lack of acceptance of new itineraries, products and services by our guests;
- our ability to attract and retain qualified shipboard crew and maintain good relations with employee unions;
- continuing financial viability of our travel agent distribution system and air service providers;
- our decisions to self-insure against various risks or inability to obtain insurance for certain risks;
- disruptions to our software and other information technology systems;
- continued availability of attractive port destinations;
- risks associated with the DLC structure, including the uncertainty of its tax status;
- risks associated with operating internationally;

- the impact of pending or threatened litigation; and
- our ability to successfully implement cost reduction plans.

Forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as a prediction of actual results. Subject to any continuing obligations under applicable law or any relevant listing rules, we expressly disclaim any obligation to disseminate, after the date of this 2006 Annual Report, any updates or revisions to any such forward-looking statements to reflect any change in expectations or events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statements are based.

Executive Overview

Since the beginning of 2004 and continuing through 2005, we experienced a substantial improvement in our net cruise revenue yields per ALBD ("net revenue yields"). The improvement in net revenue yields was primarily the result of higher passenger ticket prices, onboard revenues and occupancy and, to a lesser extent, a weaker U.S. dollar relative to the euro and sterling. Towards the spring of 2006, the impact of the severe 2005 hurricane season and higher fuel costs and interest rates on vacationers' discretionary income, we believe caused a softening in demand, principally for cruises in the Caribbean. The weaker Caribbean demand was offset by strong demand and pricing for our European brands and for our North American brands when sailing outside of the Caribbean. Consequently, in 2006, we continued to increase our net revenue yields, however, by a much smaller percentage than 2005 and 2004.

From 2003 through 2006, the cruise industry was adversely impacted by substantial increases in fuel prices, which reduced earnings per share for the 2006 fiscal year by \$0.25 compared to fiscal 2005. Towards the end of 2006, fuel prices decreased slightly and were below the prior year comparable amount. It is possible that fuel prices may once again begin to increase in 2007 and thereafter.

Throughout this period we generated significant cash flows and remained in a strong financial position, which is a high priority for us and we believe provides us with a competitive advantage in the capital intensive cruise industry. We continue to distribute excess cash to shareholders in an opportunistic manner either through dividends or through our share buy-back programs. However, our operations are subject to many risks, as briefly noted under the caption "Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results," which could adversely impact our future results.

Since June 2006, we ordered seven additional ships, which are expected to be delivered between 2009 and 2011. As of February 12, 2007, we had signed agreements with three shipyards providing for the construction of 20 additional cruise ships, the majority of which have been designated for our European brands (see Note 6 in the accompanying financial statements). These new ships are expected to continue to help us maintain our leadership position within the cruise industry. Excluding any future ship orders, acquisitions or retirements, the year-over-year percentage increase in our ALBD capacity for fiscal 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, resulting substantially all from new ships entering service, is currently expected to be 8.4%, 7.9%, 7.5%, 6.7% and 3.9%, respectively.

Outlook For Fiscal 2007 ("2007")

As of December 21, 2006 we said that we expected our 2007 full year earnings per share will be in the range of \$2.90 to \$3.10. We also said that we expected our first quarter 2007 earnings per share to be in the range of \$0.33 to \$0.35. Our guidance was based on the then current forward fuel price for all of 2007 of \$339 per metric ton for the full year. In addition, this guidance was also based on currency exchange rates of \$1.33 to the euro and \$1.98 to sterling.

Since the date of our December earnings release, the cruise industry has begun a period of heavy bookings generally referred to as "wave season", which begins in early January. Bookings since the beginning of January are up compared to the same period in 2006, however, the increase is less than our 2007 capacity increase. Pricing on bookings taken in 2007 was less than in the comparable period last year, primarily because of the continuing price pressure on Caribbean sailings. As of February 4, 2007, occupancy on cumulative advance bookings taken for the full year 2007 is approximately the same as the comparable date last year. Pricing on those advance bookings is also approximately equal to last year (down 1% in constant dollars).

Based on bookings taken to date, we now expect our net revenue yields to be flat to up slightly for the full year 2007 (down 1% to 2% in constant dollars), which is a little less than our previous guidance. Largely offsetting this, our estimate of forward prices for fuel for the year 2007 has decreased to \$315 per metric ton compared to the \$339 per metric ton we used in our December guidance. Our earnings per share for the first quarter of 2007 is now expected to come in at the high end of the range of our December guidance of \$0.33 to \$0.35 per share because of lower fuel costs. Our earnings guidance for the full year 2007 remains \$2.90 to \$3.10 per share.

Key Performance Indicators

We use net cruise revenues per ALBD and net cruise costs per ALBD as significant non-GAAP financial measures of our cruise segment financial performance. We believe that net revenue yields are commonly used in the cruise industry to measure a company's cruise segment revenue performance. This measure is also used for revenue management purposes. In calculating net revenue yields, we use "net cruise revenues" rather than "gross cruise revenues." We believe that net cruise revenues is a more meaningful measure in determining revenue yield than gross cruise revenues because it reflects the cruise revenues earned by us net of our most significant variable costs, which are travel agent commissions, cost of air transportation and certain other variable direct costs associated with onboard and other revenues. Substantially all of our remaining cruise costs are largely fixed once our ship capacity levels have been determined, except for the impact of changing prices.

Net cruise costs per ALBD is the most significant measure we use to monitor our cruise segment costs rather than gross cruise costs per ALBD. In calculating net cruise costs, we exclude the same variable costs that are included in the calculation of net cruise revenues. This is done to avoid duplicating these variable costs in these two non-GAAP financial measures.

We have not provided estimates of future gross revenue yields because the reconciliations of forecasted net cruise revenues to forecasted gross cruise revenues would require us to forecast, with reasonable accuracy, the amount of air and other transportation costs that our forecasted cruise passengers would elect to purchase from us (the "air/sea mix"). Since the forecasting of future air/sea mix involves several significant variables that are relatively difficult to forecast and the revenues from the sale of air and other transportation approximate the costs of providing that transportation, management focuses primarily on forecasts of net cruise revenues rather than gross cruise revenues. This does not impact, in any material respect, our ability to forecast our future results, as any variation in the air/sea mix has no material impact on our forecasted net cruise revenues or forecasted net cruise costs. As such, management does not believe that this reconciling information would be meaningful.

In addition, because a significant portion of our operations utilize the euro or sterling to measure their results and financial condition, the translation of those operations to our U.S. dollar reporting currency results in increases in reported U.S. dollar revenues and expenses if the U.S. dollar weakens against these foreign currencies, and decreases in reported U.S. dollar revenues and expenses if the U.S. dollar strengthens against these foreign currencies. Accordingly, we also monitor our two non-GAAP financial measures assuming the current period currency exchange rates have remained constant with the prior year's rates, or on a "constant dollar basis," in order to remove the impact of changes in exchange rates on our non-U.S. cruise operations. We believe that this is a useful measure indicating the actual growth of our operations in a fluctuating currency exchange rate environment.

On a constant dollar basis, net cruise revenues and net cruise costs would be \$9.21 billion and \$5.69 billion for fiscal 2006, respectively. On a constant dollar basis, gross cruise revenues and gross cruise costs would be \$11.42 billion and \$7.91 billion for fiscal 2006, respectively. In addition, our non-U.S. cruise operations' depreciation and net interest expense were impacted by the changes in exchange rates for fiscal 2006 compared to 2005.

All the prior periods financial information presented herein have been adjusted to reflect the retrospective application of the change in our method of accounting for dry-dock costs, as more fully discussed in Note 2 in the accompanying financial statements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our critical accounting estimates are those which we believe require our most significant judgments about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. A discussion of our critical accounting estimates, the underlying judgments and uncertainties used to make them and the likelihood that materially different estimates would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions is as follows:

Ship Accounting

Our most significant assets are our ships and ships under construction, which represent 88% of our total assets. We make several critical accounting estimates dealing with our ship accounting. First, we compute our ships' depreciation expense, which represented approximately 10% of our cruise costs and expenses in fiscal 2006, which requires us to estimate the average useful life of each of our ships, as well as their residual values. Secondly, we account for ship improvement costs by capitalizing those costs which we believe will add value to our ships and depreciate those improvements over their estimated useful lives, while expensing repairs and maintenance and minor replacement costs as they are incurred. Finally, when we record the retirement of a ship component that is included within the ship's cost basis, we estimate its net book value to determine the amount of ship component retired.

We determine the average useful life of our ships and their residual values based primarily on our estimates of the weighted-average useful lives and residual values of the ships' major component systems, such as cabins, main diesels, main electric, superstructure and hull. In addition, we consider, among other things, long-term vacation market conditions and competition and historical useful lives of similarly-built ships. We have estimated our new ships' average useful lives at 30 years and their average residual values at 15% of our original ship cost.

Given the very large and complex nature of our ships, ship accounting estimates require considerable judgment and are inherently uncertain. We do not have cost segregation studies performed to specifically componentize our ship systems. In addition, since we do not separately componentize our ships, we do not identify and track depreciation of specific component systems. Therefore, we have to estimate the net book value of components that are replaced or refurbished, based primarily upon their replacement or refurbishment cost and their age.

If materially different conditions existed, or if we materially changed our assumptions of ship lives and residual values, our depreciation expense or loss on replacement or refurbishment of ship assets and net book value of our ships would be materially different. In addition, if we change our assumptions in making our determinations as to whether improvements to a ship add value, the amounts we expense each year as repair and maintenance costs could increase, partially offset by a decrease in depreciation expense, as less costs would have been initially capitalized to our ships. Our fiscal 2006 ship depreciation expense would have increased by approximately \$25 million for every year we reduced our estimated average 30 year ship useful life. In addition, if our ships were estimated to have no residual value, our fiscal 2006 depreciation expense would have increased by approximately \$125 million.

We believe that the estimates we made for ship accounting purposes are reasonable and our methods are consistently applied and, accordingly, result in depreciation expense that is based on a rational and systematic method to equitably allocate the costs of our ships to the periods during which services are obtained from their use. In addition, we believe that the estimates we made are reasonable and our methods consistently applied (1) in determining the average useful life and average residual values of our ships; (2) in determining which ship improvement costs add value to our ships; and (3) in determining the net book value of ship component assets being replaced or refurbished. Finally, we believe our critical ship accounting estimates are generally comparable with those of other major cruise companies.

Asset Impairment

The impairment reviews of our ships, trademarks and goodwill, which has been allocated to our cruise line reporting units, require us to make significant estimates to determine the fair values of these assets or reporting units.

The determination of fair value includes numerous uncertainties, unless a viable actively traded market exists for the asset or for a comparable reporting unit, which is usually not the case for cruise ships, cruise lines and trademarks. For example, in determining fair values of ships and cruise lines utilizing discounted forecasted cash flows, significant judgments are made concerning, among other things, future net revenue yields, net cruise costs per ALBD, interest and discount rates, cruise itineraries, ship additions and retirements, technological changes, consumer demand, governmental regulations and the effects of competition. In addition, third party appraisers are sometimes used to determine fair values and some of their valuation methodologies are also subject to similar types of uncertainties. Also, the determination of fair values of reporting units using a price earnings multiple approach also requires significant judgments, such as determining reasonably comparable multiples. Finally, determining trademark fair values also requires significant judgments in determining both the estimated trademark cash flows, and the appropriate royalty rates to be applied to those cash flows to determine their fair value. We believe that we have made reasonable estimates and judgments in determining whether our ships, goodwill and trademarks have been impaired. However, if there is a material change in the assumptions used in our determination of fair value or if there is a material change in the conditions or circumstances influencing fair value, we could be required to recognize a material impairment charge.

Contingencies

We periodically assess the potential liabilities related to any lawsuits or claims brought against us, as well as for other known unasserted claims, including environmental, legal, passenger and crew, and tax matters. While it is typically very difficult to determine the timing and ultimate outcome of these matters, we use our best judgment to determine if it is probable that we will incur an expense related to the settlement or final adjudication of such matters and whether a reasonable estimation of such probable loss, if any, can be made. In assessing probable losses, we make estimates of the amount of probable insurance recoveries, if any, which are recorded as assets. We accrue a liability when we believe a loss is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," as amended. Such accruals are typically based on developments to date, management's estimates of the outcomes of these matters, our experience in contesting, litigating and settling other similar matters, historical claims experience and actuarially determined assumptions of liabilities, and any related insurance coverage. See Note 7 in the accompanying financial statements for additional information concerning our contingencies.

Given the inherent uncertainty related to the eventual outcome of these matters and potential insurance recoveries, it is possible that all or some of these matters may be resolved for amounts materially different from any provisions or disclosures that we may have made with respect to their resolution. In addition, as new information becomes available, we may need to reassess the amount of probable liability that needs to be accrued related to our contingencies. All such revisions in our estimates could materially impact our results of operations and financial position.

Results of Operations

We earn our cruise revenues primarily from the following:

- sales of passenger cruise tickets and, in some cases, the sale of air and other transportation to and from our ships. The cruise ticket price includes accommodations, most meals, some non-alcoholic beverages, entertainment and many onboard activities, and
- the sale of goods and/or services primarily on board our ships, which include bar and some beverage sales, casino gaming, shore excursions, gift shop and spa sales,

photo and art sales, and pre- and post cruise land packages. These goods and services are either provided directly by us or by independent concessionaires, from which we receive a percentage of their revenues or a fee.

We incur cruise operating costs and expenses for the following:

- the costs of passenger cruise tickets, which represent costs that vary directly with passenger cruise ticket revenues, and include travel agent commissions, air and other travel related costs,
- onboard and other cruise costs, which represent costs that vary directly with onboard and other revenues, and include the costs of liquor and some beverages, costs of tangible goods sold by us from our gift, photo and art auction activities, pre- and post cruise land packages and credit card fees. Concession revenues do not have any significant amount of costs associated with them, as the costs and services incurred for these activities are provided by our concessionaires,
- payroll and related costs, which represent costs for all our shipboard personnel, including deck and engine officers and crew and hotel and administrative employees,
- food costs, which include both our passenger and crew food costs,
- fuel costs, which include fuel delivery costs, and
- other ship operating costs, which include repairs and maintenance, including minor replacements and dry-dock expenses, port charges, insurance, entertainment and all other shipboard operating costs and expenses.

For segment information related to our revenues, expenses, operating income and other financial information see Note 11 in the accompanying financial statements.

Selected Information and Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Selected information was as follows:

	Years Ended November 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
Passengers carried (in thousands)	7,008	6,848	6,306
Occupancy percentage	106.0%(a)	105.6%(a)	104.5%
Fuel cost per metric ton (b)	\$ 334	\$ 259	\$ 194

(a) Occupancy percentage includes the three ships chartered to the Military Sealift Command ("MSC") in connection with our Hurricane Katrina relief efforts in the first quarter of 2006 and the fourth quarter of 2005 at 100%.

(b) Fuel cost per metric ton is calculated by dividing the cost of our fuel by the number of metric tons consumed.

Gross and net revenue yields were computed by dividing the gross or net revenues, without rounding, by ALBDs as follows:

	Years Ended November 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
(in millions, except ALBDs and yields)			
Cruise revenues			
Passenger tickets	\$ 8,903	\$ 8,399	\$ 7,357
Onboard and other	2,514	2,338	2,070
Gross cruise revenues	11,417	10,737	9,427
Less cruise costs			
Commissions, transportation and other	(1,749)	(1,645)	(1,572)
Onboard and other	(453)	(412)	(359)
Net cruise revenues	\$ 9,215	\$ 8,680	\$ 7,496
ALBDs(a)	49,945,184	47,754,627	44,009,061
Gross revenue yields	\$ 228.58	\$ 224.84	\$ 214.21
Net revenue yields	\$ 184.50	\$ 181.77	\$ 170.32

Gross and net cruise costs per ALBD were computed by dividing the gross or net cruise costs, without rounding, by ALBDs as follows:

	Years Ended November 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
(in millions, except ALBDs and costs per ALBD)			
Cruise operating expenses	\$ 6,477	\$ 5,964	\$ 5,292
Cruise selling and administrative expenses	1,405	1,289	1,231
Gross cruise costs	7,882	7,253	6,523
Less cruise costs included in net cruise revenues			
Commissions, transportation and other	(1,749)	(1,645)	(1,572)
Onboard and other	(453)	(412)	(359)
Net cruise costs	\$ 5,680	\$ 5,196	\$ 4,592
ALBDs(a)	49,945,184	47,754,627	44,009,061
Gross cruise costs per ALBD	\$ 157.81	\$ 151.89	\$ 148.24
Net cruise costs per ALBD	\$ 113.73	\$ 108.81	\$ 104.34

(a) Available lower berth days is a standard measure of passenger capacity for

the period. It assumes that each cabin we offer for sale accommodates two passengers. ALBDs are computed by multiplying passenger capacity by revenue producing ship operating days in the period.

Fiscal 2006 ("2006") Compared to Fiscal 2005 ("2005")

Revenues

Net cruise revenues increased \$535 million, or 6.2%, to \$9.22 billion in 2006 from \$8.68 billion in 2005. The 4.6% increase in ALBDs between 2006 and 2005 accounted for \$398 million of the increase, and the remaining \$137 million was from increased net revenue yields, which increased 1.5% on both a current and constant dollar basis in 2006 compared to 2005 (gross revenue yields increased by 1.7% in current dollars). Net revenue yields increased in 2006 primarily from higher cruise ticket prices, higher onboard revenues and, to a lesser extent, a 0.4% increase in occupancy. Gross cruise revenues increased \$680 million, or 6.3%, in 2006 to \$11.42 billion from \$10.74 billion in 2005 for largely the same reasons as net cruise revenues.

Our 2006 cruise ticket prices for Caribbean itineraries were less than 2005, which was offset by price increases we achieved primarily from our Alaska and European cruises. We believe that this reduction in Caribbean pricing was the result of weaker consumer demand caused primarily from the lingering effects of the unusually strong 2005 hurricane season and higher fuel and other costs' adverse impacts on our customers' discretionary income.

Onboard and other revenues included concession revenues of \$694 million in 2006 and \$638 million in 2005. Onboard and other revenues increased in 2006 compared to 2005, primarily because of the 4.6% increased ALBDs and increased passenger spending on our ships.

Other non-cruise revenues increased \$72 million, or 15.6%, to \$533 million in 2006 from \$461 million in 2005 primarily due to the increase in the number of cruise/tours sold in Alaska.

Costs and Expenses

Net cruise costs increased \$484 million, or 9.3%, to \$5.68 billion in 2006 from \$5.20 billion in 2005. The 4.6% increase in ALBDs between 2005 and 2006 accounted for \$238 million of the increase whereas \$246 million was from increased net cruise costs per ALBD, which increased 4.5% in 2006 compared to 2005 (gross cruise costs per ALBD increased 3.9%). Net cruise costs per ALBD increased primarily due to a \$75 increase in fuel cost per metric ton, or 29.0%, to \$334 per metric ton in 2006, which resulted in an additional \$209 million of expense, and a \$57 million increase in share-based compensation expense, which was as the result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) (see Notes 2 and 12 in the accompanying financial statements). This increase was partially offset by the non-recurrence in 2006 of a \$23 million MNOFP contribution. Net cruise costs per ALBD as measured on a constant dollar basis increased 4.8% in 2006 compared to 2005. On a constant dollar basis, net cruise costs per ALBD, excluding increased fuel prices and incremental share-based compensation expenses were flat, compared to 2005. Gross cruise costs increased \$629 million, or 8.7%, in 2006 to \$7.88 billion from \$7.25 billion in 2005 for largely the same reasons as net cruise costs.

Other non-cruise operating expenses increased \$67 million, or 18.7%, to \$425 million in 2006 from \$358 million in 2005 primarily due to the increase in the number of cruise/tours sold in Alaska.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$86 million, or 9.5%, to \$988 million in 2006 from \$902 million in 2005 largely due to the 4.6% increase in ALBDs through the addition of new ships, and additional ship improvement expenditures.

Nonoperating (Expense) Income

Net interest expense, excluding capitalized interest, was \$323 million in both 2006 and 2005. This flat interest expense was primarily due to lower average borrowings offsetting the impact of higher average interest rates on borrowings. Capitalized interest increased \$16 million during 2006 compared to 2005 primarily due to higher average levels of investment in ship construction projects and higher average interest rates on borrowings.

Other expense in 2006 included a \$10 million expense for the write-down of a non-cruise investment.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense decreased by \$33 million to \$39 million in 2006 from \$72 million in 2005 primarily as a result of lower U.S. income taxes related to the MSC charter in 2006 compared to 2005, and the reversal in 2006 of previously recorded tax liabilities and deferred tax valuation allowances, which were no longer required based upon the results of tax authority audits and other factors.

Fiscal 2005 ("2005") Compared to Fiscal 2004 ("2004")

Revenues

Net cruise revenues increased \$1.18 billion, or 15.8%, to \$8.68 billion in 2005 from \$7.50 billion in 2004. The 8.5% increase in ALBDs between 2005 and 2004 accounted for \$638 million of the increase, and the remaining \$546 million was from increased net revenue yields, which increased 6.7% in 2005 compared to 2004 (gross revenue yields increased by 5.0%). Net revenue yields increased in 2005 primarily from higher cruise ticket prices, a 1.1% increase in occupancy and higher onboard revenues. Net revenue yields as measured on a constant dollar basis increased 6.4% in 2005. Gross cruise revenues increased \$1.31 billion, or 13.9%, in 2005 to \$10.74 billion from \$9.43 billion in 2004 for largely the same reasons as net cruise revenues.

Onboard and other revenues included concession revenues of \$638 million in 2005 and \$561 million in 2004. Onboard and other revenues increased in 2005 compared to 2004, primarily because of the 8.5% increase in ALBDs and increased passenger spending on our ships.

Other non-cruise revenues increased \$63 million, or 15.8%, to \$461 million in 2005 from \$398 million in 2004 primarily due to the increase in the number of cruise/tours sold in Alaska.

Costs and Expenses

Net cruise costs increased \$604 million, or 13.2%, to \$5.20 billion in 2005 from \$4.59 billion in 2004. The 8.5% increase in ALBDs between 2004 and 2005 accounted for \$391 million of the increase, and the remaining \$213 million was from increased net cruise costs per ALBD, which increased 4.3% in 2005 compared to 2004 (gross cruise costs per ALBD increased 2.5%). Net cruise costs per ALBD increased primarily due to a \$65 increase in fuel cost per metric ton, or 33.5%, to \$259 per metric ton in 2005 and a \$23 million MNOPF contribution (see Note 12 in the accompanying financial statements). Net cruise costs per ALBD as measured on a constant dollar basis compared to 2004 increased 3.9% in 2005 and were flat excluding increased fuel prices and the MNOPF contribution, compared to 2004. Gross cruise costs increased \$730 million, or 11.2%, in 2005 to \$7.25 billion from \$6.52 billion in 2004, which was a lower percentage increase than net cruise costs primarily because of the lower proportion of passengers who purchased air transportation from us in 2005.

Other non-cruise operating expense increased \$50 million, or 16.2%, to \$358 million in 2005 from \$308 million in 2004 primarily due to the increase in the number of cruise/tours sold in Alaska.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$90 million, or 11.1%, to \$902 million in 2005 from \$812 million in 2004 largely due to the 8.5% increase in ALBDs through the addition of new ships, and additional ship improvement expenditures.

Nonoperating (Expense) Income

Net interest expense, excluding capitalized interest, increased \$31 million in 2005 to \$323 million in 2005 from \$292 million in 2004. This increase was primarily due to a \$36 million increase in interest expense from higher average interest rates on borrowings and a weaker U.S. dollar, partially offset by a \$5 million increase in interest income due to higher average invested fund balances.

Other expense in 2005 included a \$22 million expense for the write-down of a non-cruise investment, partially offset by \$7 million income from the settlement of litigation associated with the DLC transaction.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense increased by \$25 million from 2004 to \$72 million in 2005 from \$47 million in 2004 primarily because we recorded approximately \$18 million for U.S. income taxes related to the MSC charter. Commencing in September 2005, these three ships were chartered for six months, and pursuant to our agreement with the MSC, the net earnings from the charter will be equal to the amount of net earnings we would have earned on these ships if we had not entered into this charter.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

Our business provided \$3.63 billion of net cash from operations during fiscal 2006, an increase of \$223 million, or 6.5%, compared to fiscal 2005. We continue to generate substantial cash from operations and remain in a strong financial position, thus providing us with substantial financial flexibility in meeting operating, investing and financing needs.

During fiscal 2006, our net expenditures for capital projects were \$2.48 billion, of which \$1.97 billion was spent for our ongoing new shipbuilding program, including \$1.23 billion for the final delivery payments for Holland America Line's Noordam, Princess Cruises' Crown Princess and the Costa Concordia. In addition to our new shipbuilding program, we had capital expenditures of \$335 million for ship improvements and refurbishments, and \$176 million for Alaska tour assets, cruise port facility developments and information technology assets.

Our fiscal 2006 long-term debt borrowings were \$2.24 billion, consisting of \$904 million of euro denominated long-term commercial paper, the issuance of a E750 million bond (\$985 million U.S. dollars at the November 30, 2006 exchange rate) and \$352 million under the Crown Princess debt financing facility. Our fiscal 2006 long-term debt repayments were \$2.54 billion, consisting of \$1.46 billion of long-term euro commercial paper, \$888 million of Costa's indebtedness and \$190 million of other long-term debt amounts. We also received proceeds from short-term borrowings of \$661 million under our short-term commercial paper programs and short-term bank loans during fiscal 2006. Finally, during 2006, we purchased \$841 million of Carnival Corporation common stock and Carnival plc ordinary shares in open market transactions and paid cash dividends of \$803 million.

Future Commitments and Funding Sources

At November 30, 2006, our contractual cash obligations, including ship construction contracts entered into through January 2007, and the effects such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods were as follows (in millions):

Contractual Cash Obligations	Payments Due by Fiscal Year						
	Total	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Thereafter
Recorded Contractual Obligations							
Long-term debt(a)	\$ 7,409	\$1,054	\$1,930	\$ 202	\$1,108	\$ 202	\$ 2,913
Short-term borrowings(a)	438	438					
Other long-term liabilities reflected on the balance sheet(b)	495	29	79	62	47	40	238
Unrecorded Contractual Obligations							
Shipbuilding(a)	9,898	2,653	2,514	2,426	1,688	617	
Port facilities and other(a)	639	107	97	83	74	61	217
Purchase obligations(c)	643	571	43	24	3	1	1
Operating leases(a)	350	40	36	34	31	29	180
Fixed-rate interest payments(d)	1,700	217	192	169	165	158	799
Variable rate interest payments(d)	330	123	73	65	33	13	23
Total contractual cash obligations(e)	\$21,902	\$5,232	\$4,964	\$3,065	\$3,149	\$1,121	\$ 4,371

- (a) See Notes 5 and 6 in the accompanying financial statements for additional information regarding these contractual cash obligations.
- (b) Represents cash outflows for certain of our long-term liabilities that could be reasonably estimated. The primary outflows are for estimates of our employee benefit plan obligations, crew and passenger claims, certain deferred income taxes, derivative contracts payable, and other long-term liabilities. Other long-term liabilities, such as deferred income, fair value of hedged commitments and certain deferred income taxes, have been excluded from the table as they do not require cash settlement in the future or the timing of the cash outflow cannot be reasonably estimated.
- (c) Represents legally-binding commitments to purchase inventory and other goods and services made in the normal course of business to meet operational requirements. Many of our contracts contain clauses that allow us to terminate the contract with notice, and with or without a termination penalty. Termination penalties are generally an amount less than the original obligation. Historically, we have not had any significant defaults of our contractual obligations or incurred significant penalties for termination of our contractual obligations.
- (d) Fixed-rate interest payments represent cash outflows for fixed interest payments, including interest swapped from a variable-rate to a fixed-rate. Variable-rate interest payments represent forecasted cash outflows for interest payments on variable-rate debt, including interest swapped from a fixed-rate to a variable-rate, using the November 30, 2006 forward interest rate curve for the terms of the loans.
- (e) Foreign currency payments are based on the November 30, 2006 exchange rates.

In June 2006, a \$1 billion stock repurchase authorization was approved by the Boards of Directors in June 2006 subject to certain restrictions ("2006 Purchase Program"). This 2006 Purchase Program does not have an expiration date and may be discontinued by our Boards of Directors at any time. At February 9, 2007 the remaining availability pursuant to our 2006 Purchase Program was \$773 million.

At November 30, 2006, we had liquidity of \$5.60 billion, which consisted of \$1.18 billion of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, \$1.87 billion available for borrowing under our revolving credit facility and \$2.55 billion under committed ship financing facilities. Our revolving credit facility matures in 2011. A key to our access to liquidity is the maintenance of our strong credit ratings.

Based primarily on our historical results, current financial condition and future forecasts, we believe that our existing liquidity and cash flow from future operations will be sufficient to fund most of our expected capital projects, debt service requirements,

dividend payments, working capital and other firm commitments. In addition, based on our future forecasted operating results and cash flows for fiscal 2007, we expect to be in compliance with our debt covenants during 2007. However, our forecasted cash flow from future operations, as well as our credit ratings, may be adversely affected by various factors including, but not limited to, those factors noted under "Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results." To the extent that we are required, or choose, to fund future cash requirements, including our future shipbuilding commitments, from sources other than as discussed above, we believe that we will be able to secure such financing from banks or through the offering of debt and/or equity securities in the public or private markets. However, we cannot be certain that our future operating cash flow will be sufficient to fund future obligations or that we will be able to obtain additional financing, if necessary.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any off-balance sheet arrangements, including guarantee contracts, retained or contingent interests, certain derivative instruments and variable interest entities, that either have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on our financial statements.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risks

Our growing international business operations are conducted primarily through AIDA in Germany, Costa in Southern Europe and China, P&O Cruises and Ocean Village in the UK and P&O Cruises Australia in Australia, which subject us to an increasing level of foreign currency exchange risk related to the euro, sterling and Australian dollar because these operations have either the euro, sterling or Australian dollar as their functional currency. Accordingly, exchange rate fluctuations of the euro, sterling or Australian dollar against the U.S. dollar will affect our reported financial results since the reporting currency for our consolidated financial statements is the U.S. dollar and the functional currency for our international operations is generally the local currency. Any weakening of the U.S. dollar against these local functional currencies has the financial statement effect of increasing the U.S. dollar values reported for cruise revenues and cruise expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Strengthening of the U.S. dollar has the opposite effect.

We seek to minimize the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates through our normal operating and financing activities, including netting certain exposures to take advantage of any natural offsets and, when considered appropriate, through the use of derivative financial instruments. The financial impacts of these hedging instruments are generally offset by corresponding changes in the underlying exposures being hedged. Our policy is to not use any financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

One of our primary foreign currency exchange rate risks is related to our outstanding commitments under ship construction contracts denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the cruise brand that is expected to be operating the ship. These currency commitments are affected by fluctuations in the value of the functional currency as compared to the currency in which the shipbuilding contract is denominated. We use foreign currency swaps to manage foreign currency exchange rate risk from some of our ship construction contracts (see Notes 2, 6 and 10 in the accompanying financial statements). Accordingly, increases and decreases in the fair value of these foreign currency swaps offset changes in the fair value of the foreign currency denominated ship construction commitments, thus resulting in the elimination of such risk.

Specifically, we have foreign currency swaps for two of our euro denominated shipbuilding contracts. At November 30, 2006, the fair value of these foreign currency swaps was an unrealized loss of \$26 million which is recorded, along with an offsetting \$26 million fair value asset related to our shipbuilding firm commitments, on our accompanying 2006 balance sheet. Based upon a 10% strengthening or weakening of the sterling compared to the euro as of November 30, 2006, assuming no changes in comparative interest rates, the estimated fair value of these foreign currency swaps would decrease or increase by \$96 million, which would be offset by a decrease or increase of \$96 million in the U.S. dollar value of the related foreign currency ship construction commitments resulting in no net dollar impact to us.

However, at November 30, 2006, as adjusted for our ship orders through January 2007, we have six euro denominated shipbuilding commitments aggregating E2.55 billion assigned to certain of our U.S. dollar or sterling functional currency operations, for which we have not entered into any foreign currency swaps. Therefore, the U.S. dollar cost of these ships will increase or decrease based upon changes in the exchange rates until the payments are made under the shipbuilding contracts or we enter into foreign currency swaps. These euro commitments effectively act as an economic hedge against a portion of our net investment in euro and sterling-denominated cruise operations. Accordingly, any increase or decrease in our ship costs resulting from changes in the exchange rates will be offset by a corresponding change in the net assets of our euro and sterling-denominated cruise operations. Based upon a 10% hypothetical increase or decrease in the November 30, 2006 U.S. dollar and sterling to the euro foreign currency exchange rates, the cost of these ships would increase or decrease by \$336 million. Decisions regarding whether or not to hedge a given ship commitment are made on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration the amount and duration of the exposure, market volatility, and economic trends.

The cost of shipbuilding orders that we may place in the future for our cruise lines who generate their cash flows in a currency that is different than the shipyard's operating currency, generally the euro, is expected to be affected by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. Given the decline in the U.S. dollar relative to the euro over the past several years, the U.S. dollar cost to order new cruise ships at current exchange rates has increased significantly. If the U.S. dollar remains at current levels or declines further, this may affect our ability to order future new cruise ships for U.S. dollar functional currency brands.

Finally, we consider our investments in foreign subsidiaries to be denominated in relatively stable currencies and of a long-term nature. In addition to the strategy discussed above, we also partially address these exposures by denominating a portion of our debt, or entering into foreign currency swaps, in our subsidiaries' functional currencies (generally euros or sterling). Specifically, we have debt of \$1.02 billion in euros and \$419 million in sterling and have \$1.25 billion of foreign currency swaps, whereby we have converted \$267 million of U.S. dollar debt into sterling debt, \$842 million of U.S. dollar debt into euro debt and \$143 million of euro debt into sterling debt, thus partially offsetting this foreign currency exchange rate risk. At November 30, 2006, the fair value of these foreign currency swaps was an unrealized loss of \$169 million, which is recorded in AOCI and offsets a portion of the gains recorded in AOCI upon translating these foreign subsidiaries net assets into U.S. dollars. Based upon a 10% hypothetical increase or decrease in the November 30, 2006 foreign currency exchange rates, we estimate that these derivative contracts' fair values would increase or decrease by \$125 million, which would be offset by a decrease or increase of \$125 million in the U.S. dollar value of our net investments.

Interest Rate Risks

We seek to minimize the impact of fluctuations in interest rates through our long-term investment and debt portfolio strategies, which include entering into a substantial amount of fixed rate debt instruments. We continuously evaluate our debt portfolio, and make periodic adjustments to the mix of floating rate and fixed rate debt based on our view of interest rate movements through the use of interest rate swaps. At both November 30, 2006 and 2005, 72% of the interest cost on our long-term debt was effectively fixed and 28% was variable, including the effect of our interest rate swaps.

Specifically, we have interest rate swaps at November 30, 2006, which effectively changed \$932 million of fixed rate debt to libor-based floating rate debt. In addition, we have interest rate swaps at November 30, 2006 which effectively changed \$365 million of libor-based floating rate debt to fixed rate debt. The fair value of our long-term debt and interest rate swaps at November 30, 2006 was \$7.79 billion. Based upon a hypothetical 10% decrease or increase in the November 30, 2006 market interest rates, the fair value of our long-term debt and interest rate swaps would increase or decrease by approximately \$120 million and annual interest expense on our variable rate debt, including the effect of our interest rate swaps, would increase or decrease by approximately \$12 million.

In addition, based upon a hypothetical 10% decrease or increase in Carnival Corporation's November 30, 2006 common stock price, the fair value of our convertible notes would increase or decrease by approximately \$145 million.

These hypothetical amounts are determined by considering the impact of the hypothetical interest rates and common stock price on our existing long-term debt and interest rate swaps. This analysis does not consider the effects of the changes in the level of overall economic activity that could exist in such environments or any relationships which may exist between interest rate and stock price movements. Furthermore, since substantially all of our fixed rate long-term debt cannot currently be called or prepaid and \$365 million of our variable rate long-term debt is subject to interest rate swaps which effectively fix the interest rate, it is unlikely we would be able to take any significant steps in the short-term to mitigate our exposure in the event of a significant decrease in market interest rates.

Bunker Fuel Price Risks

We have typically not used financial instruments to hedge our exposure to the bunker fuel price market risk. We estimate that our fiscal 2007 fuel cost would increase or decrease by approximately \$3 million for each \$1 per metric ton increase or decrease in our average bunker fuel price.

Selected Financial Data

The selected consolidated financial data presented below for fiscal 2002 through 2006 and as of the end of each such year, are derived from our audited financial statements and should be read in conjunction with those financial statements and the related notes.

	Years Ended November 30,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	(in millions, except per share and other operating data)				
Statement of Operations and Cash Flow Data(a)					
Revenues	\$ 11,839	\$ 11,094	\$ 9,727	\$ 6,718	\$ 4,383
Operating income	\$ 2,613	\$ 2,639	\$ 2,128	\$ 1,376	\$ 1,037
Net income	\$ 2,279	\$ 2,253	\$ 1,809	\$ 1,187	\$ 1,011
Earnings per share					
Basic	\$ 2.85	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.25	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.72
Diluted	\$ 2.77	\$ 2.70	\$ 2.18	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.68
Dividends declared per share					
	\$ 1.025	\$ 0.800	\$ 0.525	\$ 0.440	\$ 0.420
Cash from operations	\$ 3,633	\$ 3,410	\$ 3,216	\$ 1,933	\$ 1,469
Capital expenditures	\$ 2,480	\$ 1,977	\$ 3,586	\$ 2,516	\$ 1,986
Other Operating Data(a)					
Available lower berth days	49,945,184	47,754,627	44,009,061	33,309,785	21,435,828
Passengers carried (in thousands)	7,008	6,848	6,306	5,038	3,549
Occupancy percentages(b)	106.0%	105.6%	104.5%	103.4%	105.2%

	As of November 30,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	(in millions, except percentages)				
Balance Sheet and Other Data(a)					
Total assets	\$ 30,552	\$ 28,349	\$ 27,548	\$ 24,450	\$ 12,302
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	\$ 6,355	\$ 5,727	\$ 6,291	\$ 6,918	\$ 3,014
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 18,210	\$ 16,883	\$ 15,672	\$ 13,752	\$ 7,385
Debt to capital(c)	30.1%	30.3%	33.7%	35.0%	30.0%

(a) Includes the results of Carnival plc since April 17, 2003. Accordingly, the information from 2003 and thereafter is not comparable to the prior period. Certain of these amounts have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the impact of changing our method of accounting for dry-dock costs from the deferral method to the direct expense method. In addition, the 2006 net income was reduced by \$57 million of share-based compensation expense related to our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) in 2006. (See Note 2).

- (b) In accordance with cruise industry practice, occupancy percentage is calculated using a denominator of two passengers per cabin even though some cabins can accommodate three or more passengers. The percentages in excess of 100% indicate that more than two passengers occupied some cabins.
- (c) Percentage of total debt to the sum of total debt and shareholders' equity.

Market Price for Common Stock and Ordinary Shares

Carnival Corporation's common stock, together with paired trust shares of beneficial interest in the P&O Princess Special Voting Trust (which holds a Special Voting Share of Carnival plc) is traded on the NYSE under the symbol "CCL." Carnival plc's ordinary shares trade on the London Stock Exchange under the symbol "CCL." Carnival plc's ADSs, each one of which represents one Carnival plc ordinary share, are traded on the NYSE under the symbol "CUK." The depository for the ADSs is JPMorgan Chase Bank. The high and low stock sales price for the periods indicated was as follows:

	Carnival Corporation		Carnival plc			
	High	Low	Price per Ordinary Share (GBP)		Price per ADS (USD)	
			High	Low	High	Low
Fiscal 2006						
Fourth Quarter	\$50.99	\$41.63	(pound)26.68	(pound)22.21	\$50.78	\$42.65
Third Quarter	\$42.14	\$36.40	(pound)23.34	(pound)19.62	\$43.49	\$37.00
Second Quarter	\$52.16	\$39.36	(pound)31.57	(pound)21.02	\$55.64	\$40.01
First Quarter	\$56.14	\$50.81	(pound)34.16	(pound)30.85	\$59.47	\$54.40
Fiscal 2005						
Fourth Quarter	\$54.98	\$45.78	(pound)33.19	(pound)26.60	\$56.48	\$47.32
Third Quarter	\$55.75	\$48.76	(pound)33.40	(pound)28.31	\$58.10	\$51.46
Second Quarter	\$55.96	\$46.76	(pound)31.45	(pound)25.90	\$59.21	\$50.02
First Quarter	\$58.98	\$48.90	(pound)32.69	(pound)29.13	\$62.17	\$56.50

As of February 5, 2007, there were 3,769 holders of record of Carnival Corporation common stock and 43,702 holders of record of Carnival plc ordinary shares and 90 holders of record of Carnival plc ADSs. The past performance of our stock prices cannot be relied on as a guide to their future performance.

All dividends for both Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc are declared in U.S. dollars. Holders of Carnival Corporation common stock or Carnival plc ADS's receive a dividend payable in U.S. dollars. The dividends payable for Carnival plc ordinary shares are payable in sterling, unless the shareholders elect to receive the dividends in U.S. dollars. Dividends payable in sterling will be converted from U.S. dollars into sterling based upon a current U.S. dollar to sterling exchange rate announced prior to the dividend payment date.

Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Our revenues from the sale of passenger tickets are seasonal. Historically, demand for cruises has been the greatest during our third quarter, which includes the Northern Hemisphere summer months. This higher demand during the third quarter results in higher net revenue yields and, accordingly, the largest share of our net income is earned during this period. The seasonality of our results is increased due to ships being taken out of service for maintenance, which we typically schedule during non-peak demand periods. Substantially all of Holland America Tours' and Princess Tours' revenues and net income are generated from May through September in conjunction with the Alaska cruise season.

Quarterly financial results for fiscal 2006 were as follows:

	Quarters Ended			
	February 28	May 31	August 31	November 30
	(in millions, except per share data)			
Revenues	\$2,463	\$2,662	\$3,905	\$ 2,809
Operating income	\$ 349	\$ 448	\$1,340	\$ 476
Net income(a)	\$ 251	\$ 380	\$1,232	\$ 416
Earnings per share				
Basic	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.47	\$ 1.55	\$ 0.53
Diluted	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.46	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.51
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.275

- (a) Net income includes incremental share-based compensation expense as a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) of \$17 million, \$11 million, \$13 million and \$16 million for the quarters ended February 28, May 31, August 31 and November 30, 2006, respectively.

Quarterly financial results for fiscal 2005 were as follows:

	Quarters Ended			
	February 28	May 31	August 31	November 30
	(in millions, except per share data)			
Revenues	\$2,398	\$2,516	\$3,607	\$ 2,573
Operating income	\$ 422	\$ 462	\$1,321	\$ 434
Net income(a)	\$ 348	\$ 388	\$1,181(b)	\$ 336
Earnings per share				
Basic	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.46	\$ 0.42
Diluted	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.47	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.41
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.25

- (a) Net income was increased (decreased) by \$3 million, \$(20) million, \$30 million and \$(17) million for the quarters ended February 28, May 31, August 31 and November 30, 2006, respectively, as a result of our change in our method of accounting for dry-dock costs from the deferral method to the direct expense method.

- (b) Includes a \$23 million expense related to the MNOF contribution and a \$22 million expense for a non-cruise investment write-down.

I, Micky Arison, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of Carnival Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Micky Arison

Micky Arison
Chairman of the Board of Directors
and Chief Executive Officer

I, Howard S. Frank, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of Carnival Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Howard S. Frank

Howard S. Frank
Vice Chairman of the Board of
Directors and Chief
Operating Officer

I, Gerald R. Cahill, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of Carnival Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Gerald R. Cahill

Gerald R. Cahill
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial and
Accounting Officer

I, Micky Arison, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of Carnival plc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Micky Arison

Micky Arison
Chairman of the Board of Directors
and Chief Executive Officer

I, Howard S. Frank, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of Carnival plc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Howard S. Frank

Howard S. Frank
Vice Chairman of the Board of
Directors and Chief
Operating Officer

I, Gerald R. Cahill, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of Carnival plc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Gerald R. Cahill

Gerald R. Cahill
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial and
Accounting Officer

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended November 30, 2006 as filed by Carnival Corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Carnival Corporation.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Micky Arison

Micky Arison
Chairman of the Board of Directors
and Chief Executive Officer

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended November 30, 2006 as filed by Carnival Corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Carnival Corporation.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Howard S. Frank

Howard S. Frank
Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors
and Chief Operating Officer

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended November 30, 2006 as filed by Carnival Corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Carnival Corporation.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Gerald R. Cahill

Gerald R. Cahill
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial and
Accounting Officer

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended November 30, 2006 as filed by Carnival plc with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Carnival plc.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Micky Arison

Micky Arison
Chairman of the Board of Directors
and Chief Executive Officer

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended November 30, 2006 as filed by Carnival plc with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Carnival plc.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Howard S. Frank

Howard S. Frank
Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors
and Chief Operating Officer

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended November 30, 2006 as filed by Carnival plc with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Carnival plc.

Date: February 12, 2007

By: /s/ Gerald R. Cahill

Gerald R. Cahill
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial and
Accounting Officer